

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_111721
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effectiveness of Modified Pilates Program with and without Kinesiotaping on Postnatal Urinary Stress Incontinence- A Randomized Clinical trial
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The Manuscript is important for scientific. Because authors have demonstrated the effectiveness of Kegal exercise and Pilates on such woman with urinary stress incontinence</p> <p>2. Yes, the title suitable</p> <p>3. The abstract does not state the research objectives.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the text are quite appropriate</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript explain it about Kinesiotape Theory</p> <p>6. Please add recent references regarding the addition of kinesiotapping to pilates interventions. It would be even better if there were references that used meta analysis methods, and give minimum 25 reference</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes, the quality of the article reflects scientific discussion	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Cahyo Setiawan
Department, University & Country	Universitas Aisyiyah, Indonesia