

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_111887
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Biopesticides against Sucking of Jassid, (<i>Amrasca biguttula biguttula</i> Ishida) on Okra, [<i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> (L.) Moench] in Madhya Pradesh India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Check the title of the manuscript. Its not appropriate. It may be written as 'bio-efficacy of different bio-pesticides against jassid (Amrasca biguttula biguttula Ishida) infesting okra'</p> <p>Yes. However, the first few sentences are incomplete/not clear. Efficacy of biopesticides against jassid on okra.....</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>In many places typological errors/spelling mistakes have been noticed viz., jiggery, matri tonnes..... correct it</p> <p>The recent name of Verticillium lecanii has to be mentioned in the place of Verticillium lacanii</p> <p>What is the rationality in deciding the dose of Beauveria bassiana as 0.5 kg/ha and Verticillium lecanii as 1.0 kg/ha.... Clarify it</p> <p>The source of inputs/treatments used in the experiment is missing. Microbial cultures/NSKE/Panchgavya etc.. need to be mentioned in material and methods</p> <p>In table 1 & 2, the content in the last column i.e., mean of four observations is not clear?</p> <p>The title of the Fig.1 and Fig.2 is not appropriate..</p> <p>Kindly check the references as per the journal guidelines</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Raghunandan B.L.
Department, University & Country	Anand Agricultural University, India