

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_111760
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF SOAKING AND GERMINATION ON ANTINUTRITIONAL FACTORS OF QUINOA (<i>Chenopodium quinoa</i>)
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for scientific community as it reflects applicable scientific concepts which adds value to crop research.</p> <p>2. Article title is precise</p> <p>3. Abstract is ok as it capture precise experiment role and results in a nutshell</p> <p>4. The structure and subsection of the manuscript is ok</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct and the author is competent in this field as shown by great articulation of discussion of results pertaining to scientific application of concept</p> <p>6. Generally the references are ok, but the author should include the following references as they have been mentioned in literature: Hai et al., (2012). For those references which were included at references section but not used in write up I suggested deletion.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Quality of English used is excellent for scholarly communication	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The Author was very articulate in discussion of results and their application to the scientific concepts. This adds value to the research work in general and is highly commendable	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Patrick Mashiq
Department, University & Country	Botswana