

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_111649</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>IDENTIFICATION OF A NOVEL STREPTOMYCES SPECIES FOR MANAGEMENT OF PLANT DISEASE</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>Yes, because the results of this study show a method for identifying isolated antagonists that complements previous similar research.</b></p> <p>2. <b>Yes.</b></p> <p>3. <b>The abstract is still required to include references that discuss the importance of the Streptomyces genus, particularly Streptomyces violaceusniger and Streptomyces exfoliates in the management of plant diseases.</b></p> <p>4. <b>Yes.</b></p> <p>5. <b>I think it could be.</b></p> <p>6. <b>Most of the references used are over the last 5 years. If possible, add or replace references from at least the last 5-10 years.</b></p> <p>7. <b>In the discussion, it is necessary to add discussion regarding the role of Streptomyces violaceusniger and Streptomyces exfoliates in the management of plant diseases so that it is in accordance with the research title.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>I think it could be.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>In general, this article is adequate, but it requires some improvements as suggested.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Intania Riska Putri</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Tadulako University, Indonesia</b>