

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_112103
Title of the Manuscript:	Cost-Economic Evaluation of Robotic tiller-Planter versus Conventional Tillage and Planting: A Comparative Study
Type of the Article	Research article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is relevant to the scientific community.</p> <p>Yes the title of this article is suitable however, it would have sounded better if it was "A comparative analysis of Robotic Tiller- Planter versus conventional tillage and planting"</p> <p>The abstract lacks recommendation and contribution to policy and practice</p> <p>Literature has not been reviewed, both theoretical and empirica related to the topic of study. Similarly the study should be anchored on a research philosophy</p> <p>Yes the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>No. Quite a number of references in the reference section are not cited in the body of the main manuscript. Use APA referencing and expunge references not used in the main text</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes the language is suitable for scholarly communication</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Make corrections as recommended above</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Andolo Dan Ojjo
Department, University & Country	Maseno University, Kenya