

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Blood Research &amp; Reviews</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IBRR_111936</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The Incidence of Intraoperative Hypotension and its Urgency to Immediately Detect Perioperatively</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes. Many causes of intraoperative hypotension affect the patients during surgery. Early detection and prevention is essential to avoid adverse outcomes.</p> <p>No. The title should include all the contents in the manuscript. However, the content only focuses on intraoperative hypotension and its effects. An author may illustrate how crucial the early detection of hypotension and proper management is to optimize patients before surgery or postoperation due to the title showing "perioperative" detection of hypotension.</p> <p>No. The abstract should not start with the aim, while the content is a background.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes. Most references are up to date.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Some sentences need to correct grammar.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>There are some comments for the topic and subsections;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Introduction has already shown a background and the aim of the review.</li> <li>2. The second section focuses on the incidence of intraoperative hypotension with the definition but does not show the scope of the problem.</li> <li>3. The third section might be about how to optimize patients with a high risk of intraoperative hypotension to prevent the event due to the cause of adverse outcomes. The author should review each system that was affected by hypotension and its outcomes.</li> <li>4. The fourth section should explain how to detect hypotension and its importance for immediate management or prevention of adverse outcomes.</li> <li>5. Due to the title of the topic demonstrating "perioperative," the author may review the necessary detection of hypotension before, during, or after the operation.</li> </ol>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Theerada Chandee</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Thammasat University, Thailand</b>