

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_111936
Title of the Manuscript:	The Incidence of Intraoperative Hypotension and its Urgency to Immediately Detect Perioperatively
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript holds importance for the scientific community. It mentions the critical need for timely detection of intraoperative hypotension and the need for its detection. Delayed identification of hypotension during surgical procedures, coupled with suboptimal treatment, can have profound negative effects on the postoperative outcomes of patients. This research can inform the clinical practices of Anaesthesiologists and enhance outcomes for individuals undergoing surgical interventions.</p> <p>2. Yes, but the term "urgency to immediately" sounds redundant once it's implicit that something urgent should be done promptly. I would suggest "The Incidence of Intraoperative Hypotension and the Importance of Timely Perioperative Detection" or eventually</p> <p>3. Yes.</p> <p>4. Yes. However, I suggest adding a topic reinforcing the importance of early detection or prevention of IOH and how it can positively impact postoperative results.</p> <p>5. Yes.</p> <p>6. Yes.</p> <p>The conclusion should answer your objectives, as stated in the introduction: "The aim of this literature review is to focus on the incidence of intraoperative hypotension and its urgency to overcome IOH perioperatively." Based on your review, I suggest adding information about the incidence of intraoperative hypotension in your conclusion. Do not add a number but a short statement about "How is that incidence?"</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English writing needs to be improved, with special attention paid to using connectors and verb conjugation.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elaine Costa
Department, University & Country	Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Brazil