

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_111614
Title of the Manuscript:	Impacts of the use of Chrysophyllum albidum G. Don on its vulnerability and its conservation in Benin.
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes. The manuscript highlights the need for conservation of vulnerable tropical forest tree <i>Chrysophyllum albidum</i> G. Don and also focusses on ethnobotanical uses as well as unsavory practices by local rural populations resulting in the declining number of this plant.</p> <p>Title can be modified as alternative title: Studies on <i>Chrysophyllum albidum</i> G. Don with reference to vulnerability and its conservation in Benin.</p> <p>No. Abstract should be more comprehensive.</p> <p>Figure Titles and Table titles need to be improved.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>References are insufficient and latest references (2020-2023) are missing.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes. However; Spelling mistakes need to be improved.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hemendra Prabhakar Shinde</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>K.V.N. Naik Arts Commerce &amp; Science College, India</b>