

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_112074</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Task-Based Language Teaching in China: Exploring Primary School Teachers' Perceptions and Reflective Practices</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>The manuscript is important for scientific community because;</b> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. <b>It is propelling humanity forward.</b></li> <li>ii. <b>The manuscript is vital to policy makers in education.</b></li> <li>iii. <b>The manuscript is essential for evaluating the effectiveness of policies and programs in education ensuring the achievement of the intended outcomes.</b></li> <li>iv. <b>The manuscript is vital in ensuring the progress and development in the society.</b></li> </ol> </li> <li>2. <b>The title is suitable for the article.</b></li> <li>3. <b>The abstract of the article is comprehensive; however, it can be improved by stating the following:</b> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. <b>The research design</b></li> <li>ii. <b>The target population.</b></li> <li>iii. <b>The research findings</b></li> <li>iv. <b>The sample size.</b></li> <li>v. <b>The objectives of the study.</b></li> <li>vi. <b>The method of data analysis.</b></li> </ol> </li> <li>4. <b>The subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate. It can be improved through the following:</b> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. <b>Citing relevant studies from:</b> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. <b>Global perspective. E.g. a study in Japan, Australia, America etc.</b></li> <li>b. <b>Regional perspective e.g. a study in South Africa, Kenya, Namibia, Nigeria etc.</b></li> <li>c. <b>Local perspective</b></li> </ol> </li> <li>ii. <b>The literature review can be joined with the introduction though not a must.</b></li> <li>iii. <b>The literature review is well done however, it can be improved through:</b> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. <b>Citing the recent studies since some are too old. At least five old references are recommended.</b></li> <li>b. <b>The research gap is well done. However, it can be improved by:</b> -Showing gap in terms of target population, research methodology, data analysis, sample size and sampling technique.</li> </ol> </li> </ol> <p><b>Study Methodology</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. <b>The tables can be improved by ensuring that the APA referencing style 7<sup>th</sup> or latest edition is well adhered.</b></li> <li>ii. <b>The discussion is well done but can still be made better if it can bring in the consistency and inconsistency of the studies in the literature review.</b></li> <li>iii. <b>Limitations of the study should come in immediately after the introduction or twined.</b></li> <li>iv. <b>For the references let the APA be adhered to i.e. tab the second sentences.</b></li> </ol> <li>5. <b>The manuscript is scientifically correct because:</b> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. <b>It has authorship.</b></li> <li>ii. <b>Ethical issues are well addressed.</b></li> <li>iii. <b>The title is well thought.</b></li> <li>iv. <b>Key words well-articulated</b></li> <li>v. <b>The abstract well designed.</b></li> <li>vi. <b>The results and discussion well-articulated.</b></li> </ol> </li> </li></ol>	<p>Yes the manuscript is important to the society.</p>

**Review Form 1.7**

	<p>6. The reference are sufficient however, some are old while others are recent and I commend the authors for that good work.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Additional points.</b></p> <p>a. Unless it is the guideline of the university, I recommend font 12 New Times Roman.</p> <p>b. The manuscript can use double column.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>a. The manuscript can be justified. The word reference should be cantered-APA.</p> <p>YES The language is okey</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1. Generally the manuscript is well done. 2. It has some references including last year 2023 which is recommendable. 3. I recommend the manuscript to move to the next level after the minor corrections are addressed to.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jeremiah Mulinge Kawinzi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>South Eastern Kenya University, Kenya</b>