

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_111768
Title of the Manuscript:	Livelihood & Climate Change implication of in situ conservation strategies for Punica granantum and Syzygium cumim in Sunni tehsil, Shimla H.P. India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is vital to the scientific community as it outlines the value of the WEFs to the locals decoding the associated local and indigenous knowledge.</p> <p>2. Yes, it suits the article as it points out the vital aspects captured in the article.</p> <p>3. Yes, it is comprehensive enough. I have just one correction which will be highlighted later.</p> <p>4. Yes, they are appropriate with the content therein.</p> <p>5. Yes, it is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. They are recent enough to demonstrate how updated the author is with respect to the current trends in this area.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language is adequate for scholarly communications</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The article is generally well written and reveals great information on how the locals use the available wild fruits in meeting their various needs.</p> <p>In the Abstract: I suggest that the word counteract be replaced with counter.</p> <p>General comments</p> <p>i. Are there any of the WEFs that are at risk of extinction? If so, then the author should highlight and recommend immediate efforts to regenerate the plants.</p> <p>ii. Some regions produce as high as 800Kg per family while others do 30Kg per family.... Why this huge disparity? If this could be due to the varying religiosity then it can be utilised to enhance conservation efforts for the various WEF in question.</p> <p>iii. Did the locals suggest any methods to enhance the WEF production? If yes, then please highlight the same as it could aid in the eventual conservation efforts associated with the fruits in question.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Denis Misiko Mukhongo
Department, University & Country	Alupe University, Kenya