

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Environment &amp; Ecology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJEE_111768</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Livelihood &amp; Climate Change implication of in situ conservation strategies for Punica granatum and Syzygium cumim in Sunni tehsil, Shimla H.P. India</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>Yes, because it can be used for forming national planning on wild and domestic fruits in studied areas. It helps in developing advanced technologies in fruits cultivation.</b></p> <p>2. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>3. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>4. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>5. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>6. <b>Yes</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p><b>Yes</b></p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>General Comments</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Capitalize each word in topic.</li> <li>2. Cumini or cumim, match with topic.</li> <li>3. Relate local names for botanical names of plants mentioned.</li> <li>4. Write some more keywords mentioned in the manuscript.</li> <li>5. Introduction is very lengthy make it concise by focusing on two plants mentioned in the topic. It should be limited within 1.5 pages in maximum.</li> <li>6. Many repetitions appear in introduction, methodology, result and discussion and conclusion.</li> <li>7. Mention total number of respondents and their reposes clearly.</li> <li>8. Result and discussion section is unnecessarily lengthy thus limit it on actual findings and their implications only. Reduce it within two pages.</li> <li>9. Mention unit of income and occupation in table 1.</li> <li>10. Narrate conclusion.</li> <li>11. Maintain uniformity throughout the listing of references.</li> <li>12. All the comments are assigned properly in the manuscript.</li> </ol>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

	<b>13.</b> The manuscript is acceptable with major revision of the comments mentioned here.	
--	---	--

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Akkal Dev Mishra</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Tribhuvan University, Nepal</b>