

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_111565
Title of the Manuscript:	MICROBIAL ANALYSIS AND ANTIBIOGRAM OF BACTERIA AND FUNGI ISOLATED FROM MEAT SELLERS TABLES IN DUTSIN-MA METROPOLIS, KATSINA STATE.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Since the manuscript is basic in experimental analysis (lacks genomic study of the identified sp.), it has future potential for further studies.</p> <p>I recommend to add: A preliminary as prefix in the title</p> <p>No, it needs to be structured in a manner that results & conclusions are aptly highlighted.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Too many references have been cited, with only a few recent ones, the oldest one is from the year 1906, was there a need of that?</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language needs refining, to say for in abstract; the results need to be highlighted in a more scientific manner, in materials & methods; study area para needs correction, table 1 key can be written in a concise manner, title of table 2 needs modification.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Result section: 3.1 mentions the prevalence % of fungal sp. the data for which is nowhere found in the tables.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Deepa Bhambhani
Department, University & Country	Raj Rishi Govt Autonomous College, India