

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_111513
Title of the Manuscript:	Implementations of Resilience and Sustainability in Urbanization Area -Natural and Social Sciences with Innovation-
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Importance for the Scientific Community: The manuscript discusses an important and current subject in the field of urban sustainability and resilience. Due to its examination of the interaction between natural and social sciences in urban development, this research provides significant insights that are crucial for the scientific community. Title Suitability: The title is appropriate as it captures the central emphasis of the work. However, a more concise alternative could be: "Integrating Natural and Social Sciences for Urban Resilience and Sustainability". Abstract Comprehensiveness: The abstract offers a satisfactory summary, although it might be enhanced by briefly referring to essential approaches and conclusions. Subsections and Structure: The structure is suitable, but, it might be enhanced by incorporating simpler transitions and providing more precise definitions in certain sections. Scientific Correctness: The work demonstrates scientific accuracy, however, the inclusion of more comprehensive information in the methods section could strengthen its credibility. References: The references are adequate, nevertheless, including more up-to-date studies would offer a contemporary viewpoint. <p>Additional Suggestions/Comments: Enhance readability by using more straightforward and concise language. Enhance the conclusion by providing clear and specific directions for further study. Enhance the integration and referencing of figures and tables inside the text.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Language/English Quality: The English used in the article is appropriate for academic communications. Nevertheless, the language may be streamlined to enhance clarity and brevity. Streamlining certain intricate sentences would enhance the legibility of the work while maintaining its academic style.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Optional/General Comments:</p> <p>The study introduces an interesting and pertinent subject within the field of urban sustainability and resilience. The text would be enhanced by a more concentrated narrative, emphasizing specific case studies or instances that clarify the addressed concepts. In addition, incorporating interactive components such as infographics or case study boxes could enhance the paper's level of engagement. In general, with some little modifications, particularly in terms of the clarity of language and structure, this manuscript has the potential to make significant improvements to the area.</p> <p>Report Review: "Implementations of Resilience and Sustainability in Urbanization Area - Natural and Social Sciences with Innovation"</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Clarity and Structure: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The article has a satisfactory level of organization, although improvements might be 	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>made to enhance the coherence of transitions between sections.</p> <p>b. Enhanced comprehension necessitates more precise delineations of some concepts.</p> <p>2. Originality and Contribution:</p> <p>a. The study topic is current and important. Nevertheless, the study would be enhanced by a more clear and specific argument or hypothesis that sets it apart from the current body of research.</p> <p>3. Methodology and Analysis:</p> <p>a. The methodology is solid, but providing a more comprehensive explanation of the analysis procedure would improve the credibility of the study.</p> <p>4. Relevance and Practical Implications:</p> <p>a. The subject matter is highly pertinent, but the practical implications of the discoveries are not explicitly expressed.</p> <p>b. Providing more details on practical uses in the real world would be useful.</p> <p>5. Literature Review:</p> <p>a. The literature review is comprehensive, but incorporating more recent studies could offer a more current viewpoint.</p> <p>6. Writing Quality:</p> <p>a. The writing is generally comprehensible although it can be excessively wordy at times.</p> <p>b. Enhancing readability can be achieved by condensing and streamlining certain sentences.</p> <p>7. Graphics and tables:</p> <p>a. The graphics and tables are useful, but their incorporation into the narrative requires enhancement.</p> <p>b. Enhanced captions and explicit references within the text would be beneficial.</p> <p>8. Conclusion:</p> <p>a. The conclusion effectively covers important aspects; however, it might be enhanced by specifically articulating future research options and potential societal impacts.</p> <p><u>Recommendations for Enhancement: - Improve the lucidity of transitions and definitions.</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Formulate an original argument or idea.- Please elaborate further on the specific approach employed and the subsequent analysis conducted.- Explicitly explain the practical implications of the findings.- Revise the literature review by incorporating recent studies.- Enhance legibility by streamlining the vocabulary.- Enhance the incorporation of figures and tables inside the text.- Enhance the conclusion by providing clear future research avenues and potential ramifications.	
--	--	--

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohamed Yassin Laissy
Department, University & Country	University of Prince Mugin, Saudi Arabia