Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	**International Journal of Plant & Soil Science**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJPSS_111329**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Use of biostimulants in inducing resistance for phytosanitary management: A review**
Type of the Article	**Review Article**

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments **1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) **2. Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) **3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** **4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** **5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** **6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	This paper is very important in knowing food production and plant resistance to types of products that can be harmful to human health and environmental quality that is declining from year to year. The title needs to be prioritized for the problem to be presented with natural stimulants capable of increasing food production and environmental quality The abstract in this article is incomplete because it has not attached research objectives, methods, results achieved, and conclusions. This subsection of the article is not yet complete because several methods and analyses have not been listed, including the effects of biostimulants. The manuscript that has been written is correct and appropriate but needs to be presented systematically in accordance with the integrity in reading the article is the urgency of the problem and the method used in it to discuss the problems raised. For references that still do not contain novelty in research, it is better to add some references that contain elements of novelty from research within five years in accordance with the improvement of developing technology.	
Minor REVISION comments **1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	It's good but there is some repetition of words when discussing the opening sentence and the relationship between sentences needs to be added in order for the article to appeal to many readers	
Optional/General comments	It is necessary to give some recommendations in the future related to research which then becomes a popular paper and is widely referenced by other authors.	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Mohammad Imam Sufiyanto**
Department, University & Country	**State Islamic Institute, Indonesia**