Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	**International Journal of Environment and Climate Change**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJECC_111395**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Dry matter accumulation in different parts of the pigeonpea as influenced by growing environment**
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments **1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) **2. Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) **3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** **4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** **5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** **6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	1. Okay 2. Effect of different sowing date on Dry Matter Accumulation of pigeonpea genotypes 3. With minor corrections, should add the clear conclusion in abstract 4. 4. Yes 5. With major corrections 6. References inside the manuscript should be APA format, and should arrange according journal format	
Minor REVISION comments **1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	With major revision it will be suitable	
Optional/General comments	**Major Comments** 1. The title should be specific and clear, I suggest this title (Effect of different sowing date on Dry Matter Accumulation of pigeonpea genotypes) 2. Some word are not clear, Please kindly clarify, like SMW 3. Please add briefly conclusion in the abstract 4. Keywords Should be according the title and arrange it A to Z 5. All references inside the manuscript should be APA format 6. The most references listed in the bibliography are not found in inside the article 7. The references should be list according journal format 8. It is advisable to include information on the statistical methods used for data analysis. Specify the statistical tests applied to determine the significance of the observed effects, and include the level of significance used (e.g., $p < 0.05$). 9. Proofread the text for grammatical and typographical errors to enhance overall clarity and professionalism. 10. No need to mention Like S_2, S_3, S_5 like these, The dry matter accumulation in leaves (g plant^{-1}) at the initiation of primary branch (S_2), secondary branch (S_3), 50% flowering (S_5) and physiological maturity (S_7) 11. Correct the word analysed 12. All tables and figures should replace in results part before conclusion 13. Inside of the tables instead of S2, S3, S5, S7, it is better to write the complete words for more clarifications 14. Inside of the tables, it is better to remove the letters D1, D2, D3, G1, G2, G3, When you have the sowing date and genotype name, no need to write D1, D2, D3, G1, G2, G3 15. Inside of the tables, SE m± and CD (P=0.05) followed by sowing date and genotypes, I mean you should add SE m± and CD (P=0.05) after sowing date and after genotypes	

	separately. 16. The analysed data should be revealed either through table or through figure, no need you show from both of them, the date you showed through table, the same date not required to show through figures as well.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Khalilullah Khaleeq**
Department, University & Country	**Kunduz University, Afghanistan**