

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_111398
Title of the Manuscript:	The challenges of medical social workers in hospital-based health care: A study of selected hospitals in Edo State
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This is a interesting Manuscript written on the challenges of medical social workers in hospital-based health care in Nigeria. The topic is important for scientific Community as it provide important facts that should be addressed to facilitate their services to the patients. However, while appreciating the authors' effort, I should emphasize the need of some major revisions to make it Scientifically and Technically sound, more comprehensive and reader friendly.</p> <p>Better to include word "Nigeria"as well; The challenges of medical social workers in hospital-based health care: A study of selected hospitals in Edo State-Nigeria</p> <p>Abstract need some revisions. Please refer the Manuscript with comments.</p> <p>Each subsection need to be revised, please refer the Manuscript for comments.</p> <p>With relevant revisions this could be achieved,</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The manuscript needs revision for proper English language, grammar and punctuation throughout</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p> <p>Introduction-</p> <p>Methods-</p> <p>Results-</p> <p>Discussion-</p> <p>Conclusion and Recommendations-</p>	<p>The need of this study should be highlighted in the justification, Objective of this study should be mentioned clearly</p> <p>Needs more clarifications- Details on study design, Sample size calculation/ decision, sampling, eligibility criteria (Inclusion/ exclusion criteria) are lacking. More details on study instrument and data collection should be there, Information on data entering, and analysis and Ethical & administrative clearance are lacking. Lot of unnecessary information on study settings, including their history, better to rewrite including only the relevant information.</p> <p>Should be more comprehensive. Socio demographic data of the study participants and other responses should be presented in Tables and graphs appropriately. A clear description should be made accordingly. Analysis is inadequate. Need further analysis, allocating a scoring system could be done.</p> <p>Findings of this study should be discussed, supported with the available literature. Should be more focused without elaborating on irrelevant literature components.</p> <p>Should be made only based on the findings of your study</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	W. N. Sachintha Dilhani
Department, University & Country	Sri Lanka