

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_111551
Title of the Manuscript:	Six Thinking Hats: A pedagogical approach for cultivating cognitive abilities in education
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>In general, writer/writers need to provide more citations to strengthen their claims and turn them into proofs. the tone of language, likewise, needs to be formal, in some cases, it seems to be informal and requires modifications. Furthermore, it is recommended to use complex sentences instead of frequent simple sentences.</p> <p>For example, as part of the research paper, <i>Employees ask about protocols and activities, look, develop, and evaluate information, knowledge, and new conceptual frameworks and activities, thoroughly scrutinize ideas and ideas, and carefully evaluate their proof, logic, belief, and implicit.</i> <i>This sentence can be re-written as below:</i> <i>Employees ask about protocols and tasks, investigate, cultivate, and assess information, knowledge, and novel conceptual frameworks and activities. They scrutinize concepts and ideas thoroughly and assess their evidence, logic, belief, and implicit understanding.</i></p> <p>The page number is also required.</p> <p>In the abstract of theoretical articles, writers are expected to briefly explain the objectives, reasoning and findings, however, here the findings are not clearly mentioned. There are also some more specific comments on the abstract: you may add space after the dot and then start the new sentence. For example, the fifth line in the abstract, "The NEP 2020 also prioritizes cultivating students' innovative capacities and greater intellectual potential through education. <u>The primary</u> objective.... The same goes for different parts in your research paper which require modifications."</p> <p>Another example,problem or situation. <u>The</u> various hats symbolize distinct cognitive functions of the human brain that the instructor or facilitator will deliberately activate during meetings or exercises. The other example of the need to add space: Creativity: <u>By assigning</u> diverse roles to team members.....</p> <p>Adding space should go for this sentence, too. ".....innovative ideas. <u>By acquiring</u> the ability to think creatively, pupils can perform exceptionally in any domain."</p> <p>When 'such as' comes in the middle of a sentence, it is preceded with (,.) <i>The primary objective of this study is to present a distinctive teaching approach that facilitates the development of advanced cognitive abilities <u>such as</u> parallel thinking, lateral thinking, innovative thinking, and analytical thinking among students</i></p> <p>As you base your study on The Six Thinking Hats concept, developed by Edward De Bono, you are required to provide in-text citations in addition to citing references. The following sentence in the abstract seems to lack a 'subject', and needs to be rewritten with a proper subject. <i>"Explore various cognitive abilities with a simple, engaging, and efficient approach utilizing six metaphorical hats of different colors"</i></p> <p>There are some studies cited in your text that are not addressed in the references list: (Young, 1985), (De Bono, 1995), Sternberg and Lubert (1996), Gregory (2009), (Ministry of Education, 2006), (Beyer, 1997), (De Bono, 1999), (Kumari & Kumari, 2014). In contrast, studies cited in references are not included in the text whatsoever!</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>In a research paper, you are required to provide more elaboration for the claim you have provided. For example, “<i>The six thinking hats concept, first in 1986, has shown to be beneficial for organizations globally</i>”. This sentence needs citations or reasons to be proved.</p> <p>The following sentence seems to be vague and requires paraphrasing: “Here are the primary factors when employing this cognitive approach can be advantageous.” Furthermore, how did you consider them as advantages? In other studies? therefore, it needs a citation, or on your own? Then the reader is required to see the technique and method to observe these merits.</p> <p>In the following sentence the term “to” should be replaced with “due to” <i>However, to the complexity of human thinking, combining the headwear will typically elicit more engaging and in-depth responses from people, whether they are trying to understand potential good or bad results or are investigating innovative concepts.</i></p> <p>When starting to express the significance of the theory (following sentence), it seems that this sentence is underdeveloped. Why do you say that? <i>Creativity and the ability to think on one's feet in the face of challenges are more valuable than ever in this digital age.</i></p> <p>When stating the limitations of the Six Hats Theory, it is stated that 1. <i>It requires exceptionally skilled educators.</i></p> <p>However, it is not clarified in what terms the educators need to be skilled. I believe this term needs elaboration.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hanieh Azizimajd
Department, University & Country	Razi University, Iran