Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	**South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_SAJSSE_111944**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Effects of political instability on private investment: Empirical investigation from african firms**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. **Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. **Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. **Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** 4. **Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** 5. **Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** 6. **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	1. **Yes. It is important for scientific community. It adds knowledge to the subject and adds some more dimensions and enriches the literature.** 2. **Consider adding specific types of political instability you are investigating, such as civil unrest, political violence, or government instability. This could attract readers interested in these specific areas.** 3. **The abstract is one of the first things readers will encounter, so crafting a compelling and informative one is crucial for generating interest in your research. There are some missing elements in the abstract. there are a few elements that could be improved upon to provide a more comprehensive and impactful overview of your research:** a) **Specificity: The abstract could benefit from mentioning the specific types of political instability you measured and analyzed. This adds context and allows readers to better understand the scope of your findings.** b) **Quantified results: While you mention the direction and significance of the relationships between variables, including actual figures or effect sizes would strengthen the abstract and readily convey the magnitude of your findings.** c) **Policy implications: The abstract currently mentions the importance of strengthening political stability but could be further enriched by outlining specific policy recommendations based on your results. This would showcase the practical relevance of your research and attract the attention of policymakers.** d) **Limitations: Acknowledging any limitations of your study or data used would enhance transparency and provide a more nuanced understanding of your findings.** 4. **Yes. But use only one types of graphs in data analysis portion.** 5. **Yes** 6. **Yes**	
Minor REVISION comments 1. **Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	Yes.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Muhammad Asif**
Department, University & Country	**Federal Urdu University of Arts, Science, and Technology, Pakistan**