

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ JSRR_111890
Title of the Manuscript:	Socio-Economic and psychological attributes of Sugarcane Grovers of Bareilly district of Uttar Pradesh
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. This manuscript is important for the international scientific community and especially for regions with similar socio-economic characteristics across other countries in the world.</p> <p>2. Title does not completely represent the article (the psychological side was not well detailed as an important part)</p> <p>3. Acceptable abstract for manuscript content</p> <p>4. The sections of the manuscript are homogeneous</p> <p>5. The manuscript is correct in form and general methodology</p> <p>6. Rich, well-chosen and recent references</p> <p>.....</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Quality fairly good (acceptable)</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The writing of this manuscript is well detailed for the physical and socio-economic diagnosis of the study environment but requires a little explanation and interpretation of the results obtained.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Tebani Mohamed</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Hassiba Ben Bouali University of Chlef, Algeria</b>