

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_111969
Title of the Manuscript:	Saudi People's Knowledge And Attitudes About Herbals' Side Effects And Their Interactions With Medications
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, manuscript is important because this article shown importance of herbals and its widely used by Saudi people. Also have taken knowledge and awareness about use and adverse effect of herbals by Saudi people.</p> <p>2. Title is ok.</p> <p>3. Yes, but they should give detail purpose and conclusion of the study.</p> <p>4. No, all content of the paper is not in proper format given by author guidelines.</p> <p>5. Yes, manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. References are sufficient but write the references should be in proper format.</p> <p>7. The name of author, co author and affiliation with address are not given in manuscript. The no. should be given to heading and subheadings.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Yes, English quality of the article is better for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nikita Ravindra Nikam
Department, University & Country	G H Rasoni University, India