

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_110171</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Identification of promising varieties of Vegetable Amaranth (Amaranthus spp.) suitable for cultivation in NE India</b>
Type of the Article	<b>The article has to be rewritten and supported with more data.</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes. It is useful to the scientific community. But, the accessions used in this study has to be provided. The amaranthus species has to be mentioned. In amaranthus 4 species are there used for leaf purpose. Viz., A. viridisi, A. tricolor, A. dubius, A. hypochondricus. Kindly indicate the species evaluated in the current study. The data presented (which year data)? lack of information. What about other year data? Analysis to be done for pooled data.</p> <p>The title may be changed as evaluation of Amaranthus</p> <p>The abstract has to be corrected</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>It has to be supported with more data statistically it is not correct. The pooled data has to be provided. The experiment design is not mentioned and number of replications also not mentioned. The data of the other lines used in the study has to be listed and reliable has to be given.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>The</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Moderate. It needs revision.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The data has to be included for other lines evaluate in the study. The title and results has to be rewritten</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>A. Sankari</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India</b>