

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Soil Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ASRJ_110115
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE ASSESSMENT OF CROP WATER PRODUCTIVITY OF DRIP IRRIGATED GREEN PEPPER UNDER POLY-HOUSE AND OPEN-FIELD CONDITIONS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is important and beneficial to the scientific community.</p> <p>2. Yes, it is suitable and correct title for the article.</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract of the article comprehensive and good.</p> <p>4. Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate and to the point.</p> <p>5. Yes, it is scientifically correct and written in good way.</p> <p>6. References are sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. Yes, the English language used in the manuscript is good, comprehensive and suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is acceptable and ready for publication.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Purnima</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Deen Dayal Upadhyaya Gorakhpur University, India</b>