

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Soil Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ASRJ_110115
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE ASSESSMENT OF CROP WATER PRODUCTIVITY OF DRIP IRRIGATED GREEN PEPPER UNDER POLY-HOUSE AND OPEN-FIELD CONDITIONS
Type of the Article	Research article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, only if the author makes changes stated</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, slight errors were noted. The author needs to make changes as per the comments provided.</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes. However, grammatical issues were visible throughout the manuscript, and the author needs to check and correct it carefully.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The author needs to be consistent with certain things; one example is the experimental season in the abstract..... seasons of 2020.2021 and 2021/2022 at the....., and so on. 2. Try using "two words" instead of one word as a "keyword". E.g., nutrient leaching instead of leaching. This will sound more scientific and complete. 3. Certain sentences in the introduction section need to merge to be complete. E.g., "While a poly-house is generally regarded" and "It is possible to improve plant growing conditions", should merge. Please check other sentences as well. 4. At the end of the introduction section, one paragraph should focus on what is unknown about the topics of the manuscript, what could be done to solve it, and how your study will contribute to solving these unknown issues. Give a strong reason as to why there is a need for this study. 5. "Materials and Methods" should be Materials and Method 6. Please write the scientific name and variety of the crop used under the "Materials and Method" section. 7. State which statistical package was used in this study. And for each of these and other materials, state the company, city, and country of production. Check out this article for reference: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-023-06362-3 8. Remove the first paragraph in the "Result and Discussion" section, as it is unnecessary. Start from "The effects of cropping environment....." 9. Once an abbreviation is defined, do not define it again and again. For e.g., crop water productivity (CWP) is repeated throughout the manuscript. State this abbreviation in the second last subheading under the "Materials and Method" section and use the abbreviation afterward. 10. As a footnote, state the statistical characters used in Table 1. Check out this article for reference: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-023-06362-3 11. t-test and not T-test 	

Review Form 1.7

	12. A sentence in the "Conclusion and Recommendations" section should state what was lacking in this study and how future studies can address this, as this will sound more scientifically appropriate.	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Laurence Shiva Sundar
Department, University & Country	Fiji National University, Fiji