

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_111220
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFICACY OF BIO-RATIONAL INSECTICIDES IN CONTROLLING THRIPS IN SUMMER COUNTRY BEAN
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Study on management of sucking insects including thrips is crucial as these are vectors of viruses and result in huge loss in agriculture crops. Therefore, this manuscript can be important for scientific communities.</p> <p>2. Title is suitable however you can rephrase and use synonyms to present better.</p> <p>3. Abstract seems lengthy. Please make abstract concise up to 300 words. It is better to arrange keywords in alphabetical order. See author guidelines</p> <p>4. Sub section and structure seems fine. Methodology: a) Please mention how number of thrips was counted and in which part of day? b) In which part of day did you spray insecticides? Mention concentration of leaf extracts. Result: a) Mention duration of flowering stages and when did the specific flowering stage started (afterDAS) see table 2 b) Discuss your data and interpretation with relevant articles where either your data supports or contradicts. Discussion seems only on general information. c) It is better not to mention treatment number everywhere, you can compare insecticides with untreated field writing name of insecticide. d) I recommend you to compare percentage change in infestation level of various insecticides over untreated field.</p> <p>5. Manuscript has required components so it is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. Reference are recent. Provide more discussion and increase citation and references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Please go deep into the manuscript thoroughly and make correction in grammar and tenses.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sushmita Sharma Koirala
Department, University & Country	Agriculture and Forestry University, Nepal