

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_111143
Title of the Manuscript:	Skin Cancer Detection using AI
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>YES; in the article, the authors show that the neural network algorithm outperforms the other algorithms in terms of accuracy and speed in the process of detecting skin cancer, which can be helpful for improvement of diagnostic screening method for skin cancer.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>The manuscript has following subdivisions: I. INTRODUCTION; II. PROPOSED METHODOLOGY (I suggest to authors to change the name of section II into II. METHODOLOGY); III. LITERATURE SURVEY (I suggest that this section should be merged with III. METHODOLOGY) IV. RESULTS V. FUTURE SCOPE; VI. CONCLUSION; I suggest to authors to include Discussion section before sections V and VI., with a review of previous similar investigations, compare the results with possible previous similar research and mention if there are any limitations of the presented study, especially in the aspect of possible application of the method in clinical practice.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Authors should arrange the references in the text of subsections according to the Instructions for the authors</li> <li>The sentence in the Introduction section "Very recently, a meta-analysis (Kränke et al., 2023...) is unfinished</li> </ul>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Vesna Lukinović-Škudar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Zagreb, Croatia</b>