

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_110692
Title of the Manuscript:	Value of Red Cell Distribution Width in Children with Congenital Heart Disease Associated- Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Absolutely the research is important for the scientific community. 2. The title is suitable. 3. I suggest the abstract to be revised especially highlighting the (GAP) from the literature, and how this article does solved the problem, also linking the methodology with the result should be improved. 4. The manuscript structure needs to be improved in higher academic and scientific terms. 5. The research is scientifically is correct. 6. The references are suitable and sufficient. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	The English language writing quality need to be highly improved to suits the journal quality.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	I suggest the author to provide some figures of the data analysis so that the reader will be clear on the spot.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Heamn Noori Abduljabbar
Department, University & Country	Universiti Putra Malaysia, Salahaddin University, Malaysia