

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_111470
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Naturally Termite Resistant Tropical Wood Species
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript presents some interesting points that could be beneficial to the scientific community. However, it falls short in delivering groundbreaking insights or significantly advancing the field. It does contribute to the existing body of knowledge, but to a limited extent. Some aspects of the study could be further developed to enhance its importance. 2. The current title of the article is somewhat appropriate, as it does reflect the main theme of the manuscript. However, it could be improved to better capture the essence of the research and its findings. A more specific or engaging title might be more effective in drawing the attention of potential readers. For example, a title that highlights the key outcome or novel aspect of the research could be more impactful. 3. The abstract provides a basic overview of the research but lacks depth in certain areas. It would benefit from including more details about the methodology and the findings. Also, highlighting the significance of the research in the abstract could provide a clearer understanding of its contribution to the field. 4. The overall structure of the manuscript is adequate, with clear subsections that guide the reader through the content. However, some sections could be reorganized for better flow and coherence. For instance, the discussion section could be more tightly linked to the results to provide a clearer interpretation of the findings. 5. The manuscript appears to be scientifically correct in its approach and methodology. Nonetheless, there are some areas where the analysis could be more rigorous or where additional data might strengthen the conclusions. The literature review could also be expanded to encompass more recent studies, ensuring that the manuscript is grounded in the most current research. 6. You can add this references on it to be more update: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- Delnavaz, M., Sahraei, A., Delnavaz, A., Farokhzad, R., Amiri, S. and Bozorgmehrnia, S., 2022. Production of concrete using reclaimed water from a ready-mix concrete batching plant: Life cycle assessment (LCA), mechanical and durability properties. <i>Journal of Building Engineering</i>, 45, p.103560. 2- Bozorgmehr Nia, S., Nemati Chari, M. and Adlparvar, M.R., 2019. Experimental Study of Applying Natural Zeolite as A Partial Alternative for Cement in Self-Compacting Concrete (SCC). <i>Advance Researches in Civil Engineering</i>, 1(3), pp.1-18. 3- Nia, S.B. and Chari, M.N., 2023. Applied development of sustainable-durable high-performance lightweight concrete: Toward low carbon footprint, durability, and energy saving. <i>Results in Materials</i>, p.100482. 4- Saeed Bozorgmehr Nia, Masoud Taheri, Reza Jamalpour, Achieving Realistic Cost Estimates in Building Construction Projects: A Reliability Assessment of Pre-Construction 	

Review Form 1.7

	Stage Cost Estimates, <i>International Journal of Construction Engineering and Management</i> , Vol. 12 No. 3, 2023, pp. 81-90. doi: 10.5923/j.ijcem.20231203.02.	
	5- Adlparvar, M.R. and Bozorgmehrnia, S., 2012. Retrofitting Process of Monument Enshrines Imamzadeh Ja'far after Darb-e-Astaneh Earthquake (31 March 2006-UNESCO). 9 th International Congress on Civil Engineering, Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saeed B.Nia
Department, University & Country	IUST, Iran