

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_111295
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of financial deepening on domestic investment in Nigeria
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for the scientific community. Economic development is the key objective of a country. Capital formation in terms of domestic investment is crucial for economic development. In this context there is relevance of the manuscript.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is found to be suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive. However, there are some repetitions in it. It requires minor modification to attract the reader.</p> <p>4. As far as the subsections and structure of the manuscript are concerned, there may be minor modifications. The section "Summary of Findings, Conclusion and Recommendation" is to be a separate section.</p> <p>5. The author has analysed the impact of financial deepening on domestic investment in a lucid manner. However, the quality of the article may be improved for the reader if (i) the introduction contains the findings of the article; the definitions are given in footnotes; repetitions are omitted, (ii) the figure of the review section lies after introduction of the review; the definitions of financial deepening, broad money supply, private sector credit, stock market capitalization given in the review sections are to be deleted; (iii) the full forms of certain acronyms are provided in the text;</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and recent. However, the references cited in the text are missing in the reference section. Further there is spelling mistakes and multiple reference styles are used.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English quality of the article is to some extent good. However, in certain places there is grammatical error.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pareswar Sahu
Department, University & Country	Barpali College, Sambalpur University, India