

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJCRS_111317</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Beaded jejunum in a post kidney transplant recipient : A case report</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case report</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Yes. This is an interesting manuscript reporting an unusual occurrence of post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorder related to EBV infection in a young man receiving immunosuppressive therapy.</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Abstract comprehension should be improved. Please specified the abbreviation that you used (Usg, CT, GI) as they appear for the first time in the manuscript. Remove s/o since it can be misleading for the reader.</p> <p>4. Mostly yes. I added other specific comments in the attached manuscript.</p> <p>5. Yes.</p> <p>6. Yes</p> <p>Some laboratory findings may improve the quality of your report (e.g., blood count, differential Immunoglobulin levels. Moreover, please note that there are lot of typo errors that should be corrected (i.e., spaces before punctuation, laproscopic, etc.). I added other specific comments in the attached manuscript.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes. However, I suggest to involve a native English speaker to make your manuscript suitable for publication.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Salvatore Rotundo</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University "Magna Graecia", Italy</b>