

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJHR_111366
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the Production Potentials of Tomato (<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i>) using Two Seed Systems (Formal and Informal) in the Buea Municipality, Cameroon
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The findings emphasize the importance of seed quality, seed system management, and the interrelationships among different variables in tomato production. The insights gained from the study are valuable for farmers, seed producers, and researchers seeking to optimize seed systems and boost crop yield.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive. However, a few comments to be addressed are attached to the manuscript.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, I believe the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>Additional references suggested:</p> <p>https://www.researchgate.net/publication/366288577 Seed production system and adaptability of okra <i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> L cultivars in Buea Cameroon</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Please go through the comments attached in the manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aarati Ghimire
Department, University & Country	Purbanchal University, Himalayan College of Agricultural Sciences and Technology, Nepal