

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_111471
Title of the Manuscript:	Developing a Comprehensive Framework for Socio-Environmental and Educational Support to Enhance Student Learning
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important and contributes new knowledge to academic discourse. This is because social-education support systems are very crucial and integral part of the school system, education and students' learning outcomes</p> <p>The title sounds apt but there's need to restate it to accommodate measurable variables as advised accordingly in the manuscript</p> <p>The abstract is inadequate. The researcher should follow the format given in the manuscript to make it concise and sufficient in order for the reader to get the gist of it at a glance The structure is lacking key sections such as review of research objectives, related literature, methodology, findings and discussions, conclusion and recommendations Partly scientifically correct. The researcher needs to authenticate the study by adding explanation of thematic areas in relation to sources of authority cited.</p> <p>Yes, over 85(72.6%) references are recent, which is within the acceptable threshold</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes with adjustment of font to 12 and Times New Roman	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Douglas Isigi Shiwani
Department, University & Country	University of Nairobi, Kenya