

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_111714
Title of the Manuscript:	DESIGN OF HYDRAULIC MOTOR OPERATED BUSH-CUTTER
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript involves serious drawbacks; The obtained results have not been validated experimentally or in a simulation manner and they have not been discussed according to the relevant previous research works. Also, the author(s) did not show how the basic assumed values of discharge and pressure required by the motor have been chosen. Moreover, it is not very clear what the author(s) are trying to extract from the literature works in the Introduction! Thus, the given manuscript cannot be considered important for the scientific community.</p> <p>Relatively (Yes).</p> <p>No. Actually, the abstract need a comprehensive re-writing!</p> <p>No.</p> <p>The manuscript involves only implementations of some ASSUMED values on some STANDARD EQUATIONS! Thus, there is no way to talk about the scientific evaluation!</p> <p>It seems that there is a gap in the given references between 2012 and 2022 where only one reference has been cited!</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes. However, proofreading is essential.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohaimen Habeeb Makki Al-Thamir
Department, University & Country	Iraq