

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_111757
Title of the Manuscript:	Integrated Nutrient Management and varieties influences growth, yield, and economics of Toria [<i>Brassica campestris</i> (L.) var. toria]
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The study's primary focus on comparing the newly released toria variety 'Tapeswari' with traditional varieties is a potential weakness as it overlooks a thorough investigation of other factors affecting toria cultivation, such as pest resistance and adaptability to different environmental conditions.</p> <p>2. Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) and Varietal Impact on <i>Brassica campestris</i> Growth, Yield, and Economics.</p> <p>3. Right</p> <p>4. Not</p> <p>5. Need to revised the reference into updated one</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Please review and improve certain sentences for clarity and coherence, especially in areas where complex concepts are introduced. Make sure that the terminology is accessible to a wide audience.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This research demonstrates significant deficiencies that require substantial revisions. The paper is not accepted in its current form because, in my opinion, it needs significant revision and has several flaws.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fayaz Asad
Department, University & Country	Bacha Khan University, Pakistan