

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Environment &amp; Ecology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJEE_111793</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Camelids for Sustainability: A Socio-economic Perspective</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- Yes</p> <p>2- Yes</p> <p>3- Yes</p> <p>4- Not appropriate enough. the sections of milk, meat and fibres are repeated. it is better to make one section for each containing the information for both the types of camelids.</p> <p>The section "socio-cultural significances of camelids" should be more precise. it includes a lot of information which is not related to the research</p> <p>-It will be better If you add a section or a table for numbers of camels over the years,</p> <p>5- some information has been mentioned in the manuscript based on religious or cultural beliefs. These are scientifically incorrect. It is better to delete them</p> <p>-the figure (3) doesn't add information for the manuscript.</p> <p>6- Faye, B. How many large camelids in the world? A synthetic analysis of the world camel demographic changes. <i>Pastoralism</i> <b>10</b>, 25 (2020). <a href="https://doi.org/10.1186/s13570-020-00176-z">https://doi.org/10.1186/s13570-020-00176-z</a></p> <p>The words in the last line in ( figure1 ) require to be more clear</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>some revision is required</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nadia Hamdi Fahim</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Egypt</b>