

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRMH_111641
Title of the Manuscript:	Metastatic adenocarcinoma of the rectum revealed by bilateral occlusion of the central retinal vein: A case report
Type of the Article	Case report

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript has scientific and social relevance and presents the title of the article consistent with what is written in the text. However, some points in the manuscript require correction/change.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The abstract of the manuscript is very restricted and does not present a summary of the reported case;</li> <li>- Ocular vascular disease would be an important keyword for the manuscript;</li> <li>- The introduction of the manuscript is lacking in information. The theme of the manuscript is very important and has a vast amount of information and data on the subject in the literature that can be added in this section;</li> </ul> <p>- In the "Ethical approval" section, the author seems to have confused it with the Consent Form. It is important to describe whether the work was authorized by an ethics committee for research with human beings (this could be from the Health Institution where the patient was consulted and the exams were carried out).</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	sim	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Welma Emidio da Silva</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, Brazil</b>