

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_111801
Title of the Manuscript:	Human capital, migration and remittance in developing countries: a general equilibrium approach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>Can be improved.</p> <p>Can be improved.</p> <p>Can be improved.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, it is.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The article deals with a relevant and current research topic. However, there are some problems that need to be solved before it can be published.</p> <p>The objective is not clearly stated in the introduction.</p> <p>There is a lack of discussion of the theoretical basis of the model with others in the literature.</p> <p>The model could be better explained to make it easier for all readers to read and not just those who have mastered the theory.</p> <p>The article assumes that migrants send a lower percentage to their place of origin and concludes that this is bad. And if their income is much higher, even a lower percentage can mean a much higher absolute amount, so the effect would be the opposite of what is pointed out.</p> <p>Furthermore, there is the restriction that this model is only suitable for countries where migration from rural to urban areas still predominates. In countries that are at a different level, where the greatest flow of migration is between urban areas, the model does not apply.</p> <p>The article should acknowledge its limitations.</p> <p>The article could suggest as a subject for future work how the proposed model could be empirically tested.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Wellington Ribeiro Justo</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Regional University of Cariri, Federal University of Pernambuco, Brazil</b>