

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_111801
Title of the Manuscript:	Human capital, migration and remittance in developing countries: a general equilibrium approach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>		
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>NARRATIVE REPORT</p> <p>I commend the candidate generally for the good effort. I will limit my comments to the most relevant and present them according to the sections.</p> <p>Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The introduction is quite long and sometimes makes it difficult to stay focused on the relevant issues. I would have liked to see more background information on Human capital, migration and remittance in developing countries and its linkages with economic growth. A descriptive/statistical analysis will help here. • Consider specifying the research questions to reflect the specific objective. • The justification presented suggests that previous techniques are not adequate but this is not demonstrated. • It's not enough to present the system, a proper discussion linking the theoretical system to the research objective would be useful. <p>Literature review</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For the theories, it would be good to mention the proponents of the theory and key assumptions. This is currently missing. I wonder if theories on Human capital, migration and remittance would not be relevant here. • I also wonder if growth theories should be ignored altogether in the theoretical literature as the case is now. <p>Methods</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I am worried about the method use here and the justification. I suggest a blend of theoretical model with empirical analysis for robustness. <p>Results</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I wonder if it would be possible to do the econometric analysis also with the specific Human capital, migration and remittance? There may be interesting findings that may be hidden in the aggregated analysis. <p>Recommendation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The objective of the study was around Human capital, migration and remittance yet there are completely unrelated recommendations listed. The recommendations should entirely focus on efforts to improve efficiency in the use of Human capital, migration and remittance to ensure they transform into economic growth. 	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adelakun, Ojo Johnson
Department, University & Country	Joseph Ayo Babalola University, Nigeria