

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_111351
Title of the Manuscript:	Design of control system of oil collection device
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The paper presents a control system of oil slick collection device is designed using the Proteus software.</p> <p>As per the content of the manuscript, the title can be "Simulation of a control system for oil collection device"</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes. Additionally, a results and discussion section must be added.</p> <p>Yes, it presents a simulation study of a control system for a oil slick collection device.</p> <p>Few recent references can be added. Comparison with existing design lacking.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript fails to compare their results with existing designs and provides no justification on the improvement over existing designs. 2. A figure showing the total proposed control system in Proteus environment must be provided. 3. The first decision box in Fig. 5 has 3 outgoing edges, please clarify. The second decision box does not reflect Yes/ No. This must be updated. 4. Is using AT89C51 feasible for industry use? Please clarify. 5. The last line if CONCLUSION..... "and the simulation effect is very good and meets the design requirements." mentions about meeting the design requirements. But the design requirements for the control system for oil slick collection is not reported in the manuscript. 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ananya Dastidar
Department, University & Country	Odisha University of Technology and Reseach, India