

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Economics, Management and Trade</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEMT_111376</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The Importance and Components of Economic Cooperation among Red Sea Countries</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is essential for the scientific community since it addresses Red Sea countries' urgent and top agenda.</p> <p>The title of the article is also suitable.</p> <p>The abstract emphasizes the importance of the research, but it is better if the researcher includes the basic problem that necessitates the research and what issue this research will address. The researcher should tell us what specific scientific method or approach this research uses. The abstract should include the results of the study and its implications.</p> <p>The researcher is expected to rewrite the article to be publishable. The researcher is advised to rework to improve the structure of the manuscript and subsections, which are not appropriate in the current form. The manuscript needs revision to be scientifically correct and have more quality standards and essential components of a scientific paper. The references need to be more sufficient and recent. The researcher is advised to include recent literature and add more secondary data.</p> <p><b>Additional comments:</b> The researcher needs to revise the paper by restructuring and including missed components of the article to be scientifically sound.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	There is no glaring language problem, but it needs editorial work for better scholarly communication.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	The manuscript needs article structures, and some pillars, such as methodology and literature, must be included.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Efa Tadesse Debele</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Samara University, Ethiopia</b>