

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_111356
Title of the Manuscript:	FIRM VALUE, ECONOMIC SHOCK, AND PITFALLS OF APPROACH ANALYSIS: THE CASE OF INDONESIAN STATE-OWNED BANK
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>General Comments</p> <p>From my point of view, it is a very interesting topic and simultaneously it seems that to the best of my knowledge is an important study which purpose was to determine and analyze the effect of liquidity, leverage, profitability and the Covid-19 pandemic on the firm value of state-owned banks listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX). The data used is the financial statement data of state-owned banks listed on IDX for the 2011-2021 period. The population of this study are state-owned bank companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange. Sampling in this study was carried out using purposive sampling method. Based on predetermined criteria, 4 samples and 44 data were obtained. The data analysis used in this research are Multiple Linear Regression Analysis and Data Panel. Then at the end of analysis is also strengthened by Structural Break Test. The results from Data Panel showed that liquidity has a negative and significant effect on firm value, meanwhile Leverage and Profitability has insignificant effect on firm value. Data Panel and Structural Break Test also showed there is an impact the Covid-19 pandemic on firm value. The paper consists of the sections: Introduction, Review Literature, Research Methods, Conclusion and Research Limitations.</p> <p>However, I find some recommendations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The abstract must contains the main purpose of the paper, the research method used in the research and the main contributions. 2. It would be very useful to add in the "Introduction" section the purpose, objectives and hypothesis of the research. 3. Authors must title section 2 Literature Review instead of Review Literatur. 4. I consider an econometric model will increase the quality of the paper. 5. The research is well based on science and the results are in agreement with the theoretical part. From my point of view, the paper is original and the topic addressed brings added value to the specialized literature regarding regional sector. The paper is well written and easy to read. 6. The research is well based on science and the results are in agreement with the theoretical part. The model applied to the analyzed data is correctly used in the analysis undertaken, it is a strength point of this paper. 7. It is very important for the authors to analyze the correlation between the variables and to explain the number of items taken into account both in the descriptive analysis (with Kurtosis test, Jarque Berra test and interpretation, Skewness and Kurtosis interpretation) and in the correlation analysis. 8. I think that descriptive perspective is crucial to understand the context of the problem to be analyzed. 9. I consider that the correlation analysis and the VIF test are very important in this research. 10. In the same time, in the Research Methods section the authors have to apply an econometric method like regression or panel with fixed effect estimation or the random effect estimation (see for instance, Baltagi (2008), Hsiao (2014) and Andre B et al. (2015)). 	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>Besides, the corresponding tests to determine which is the best method of estimation is needed (see the Hausman test, the Breusch and Pagan (1980)´s Lagrange multiplier, the F test for fixed effects to test whether all unobservable individual effects are zero).</p> <p>11. The authors talk about the relationship between these variables, however they do not support the empirical evidence providing panel cointegration tests that are crucial (see for instance Kao (1999) panel data cointegration test, the Pedroni (1999, 2004) panel data cointegration test or the Westerlund (2005) panel data cointegration test, among others).</p> <p>12. Also, we consider that the literature is not enough and we recommend the authors to refer to other recent works indexed in Web of Science, Scopus, Emerald and Cambrige. We suggest that the authors cite papers indexed in Web of Science Journals, such as:</p> <p>We recommend the authors to number the paragraphs: 1. Introduction; 2. Literature Review; 3. Methods and Results, Discussions and Conclusions. In conclusion, the article should be thoroughly rebuilt (changes in structure recommended above). It should also be enhanced with a review of the literature adequate to the subject and a broader interpretation and commentary of the research results.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The Manuscript needs careful English proofreading because there are some shortcomings. For instance, the article “the” is sometimes missing in front of nouns, the message in some paragraphs is not clear enough.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Batrancea Larissa Margareta</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Babes-Bolyai University, Romania</p>