

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_111577
Title of the Manuscript:	Nanoparticle-Enhanced Approaches for Sustainable Agriculture and Innovations in Food Science
Type of the Article	Review paper

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1-The research is likely to be considered noteworthy within the academic community due to its rigorous methodology, the introduction of fresh and insightful perspectives, and its contribution to the existing body of literature.</p> <p>2- Yes</p> <p>3-Yes, The abstract is clear but it is short, you can modify it.</p> <p>4-Revise the review by incorporating the following adjustments: *Enhance the introduction by including relevant references. *Implement numbered titles and subtitles for improved organization. *Devote individual paragraphs to each subject. *Integrate a conclusion section to summarize key findings.</p> <p>5-Yes</p> <p>6-Please be cautious about the references, ensuring that a significant portion of them is from 2014 onward. In recent years, nanoparticles have become a focal point in research.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	While your article provides a wealth of information on nanoparticles, its structure could benefit from improvement. To enhance scientific robustness, consider reorganizing the paper and incorporating more citations from relevant references. This not only elevates the credibility of your work but also furnishes readers with additional sources for extended exploration and validation of the presented information.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dhiss Tesnim
Department, University & Country	ENIG, Tunisia