

## Phenotypic variability of different genotype in ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lam.) germplasm under eastern region of Uttar Pradesh.

### Abstract

Eastern region of Uttar Pradesh is very rich in biodiversity for ber but still less harnessed. The agro-climatic conditions of eastern region do have great potential for its commercial cultivation. Keeping these in view, fruit sample with shoot were collected from forty genotypes of ber in diverse areas of eastern region of Uttar Pradesh and analysed for various physiological attributes and results of study shows a wide range of variability in morphological quantitative morphological parameters. Among the morphological parameters leaf shape of different genotypes show a wide variation and mainly Cordate, Oval, Obovate and Elliptic shape are found. The stone shape recorded showed Obtuse, acute, oblong, showed round stone shaped. Among the quantitative variability of different genotypes viz., for stone weight (0.56 g to 1.58 g), stem girth (25.90 cm to 62.63 cm) and height of tree (3.43 m to 5.50 m) variability also recorded. Therefore, on the basis of morphological and quantitative attributes, genotypes-4,5,7, 18, and 30 were screened as promising genotypes. These promising genotypes can be recommended for commercial multiplication, growing at farmer's field and conservation in the field gene bank for further evaluation and crop improvement.

**Key words:** Ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lam.), Variability, Fruit size, Leaf shape, Fruit colour and Stem girth.

### Introduction

Ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lam.) an indigenous important fruit crop for arid and semi-arid regions of India belong to the family Rhamnaceae. It is mainly grown in India and other countries in central Asia, China and Taiwan and is more associated with the Indian culture since ancient times (Baloda et al., 2012). It is cultivated widely for its resistance to grow in drought and other diversified soil and climatic conditions. It is a hardy tree that tolerates extreme temperature and thrives under dry conditions. Fruit quality is best under hot, sunny and dry conditions, but there should be a rainy season to support growth and flowering, leaving enough soil moisture to carry the fruit to maturity (Awasthi and More, 2009). Along with the ability to withstand drought, ber can also tolerate adverse conditions, such as

Comment [MF1]: Paraphrasing and arranging

salinity and water logging. In view of the recent development in production technology of this crop, the cultivation of ber is becoming increasingly popular in many parts of country. It is an ideal fruit tree for tropical and subtropical regions of the country viz., Rajasthan, Haryana, Punjab, U.P, Gujarat, M.P., Bihar, Maharashtra, Andhra Pradesh and Tamil Nadu. Berfruit have both sour and sweet tastes and are rich in nutritive value. It is rich in vitamin 'B' complex and vitamin 'C' (Pareek, 2013). However, ber is richer than apple in protein, phosphorus, calcium, carotene and vitamin C and than oranges in phosphorus, iron, vitamin C and carbohydrates and exceeds them in calorific value (Nissi and Vinayak, 2016).

Indian ber(*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lamk.) trees are small to moderate in size spreading with vine like branches. It is the hardiest fruit trees with wider adaptability to adverse soil and climate condition is an evergreen shrub or small tree up to the height of 15 m, with 40 cm or more trunk diameter; spreading crown; stipule spines and have many drooping branching. The fruits are of variable shape and size. They are oval, obovate and round 1-2.5 inch (2.5- 6.5 cm) long. The flesh is white and crisp. The ability of *Ziziphus* species and the different varieties / types within ber to cross freely has allowed the buildup of a rich gene pool. Vegetative growth (tree form, leaf shape, apex, base and pubescence, petiole length, colour etc.), flowering and fruit characters (shape, size, style end, skin color, stone and pulp content, pulp colour and sweetness, etc.). Keeping these in view the present study was taken to study phenotypic variability of different genotype in ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lamk.) germplasm under eastern region of Uttar Pradesh for getting best promising genotype for commercial multiplication and cultivation in the country.

## Materials and Method

The present investigation was carried out at Department of Fruit Science, college of Horticulture, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture & Technology, Kanpur (UP) during 2021-2022 and 2022 - 2023. Fruit of forty genotypes were collected from eastern region (Balrampur, Bahraich, Siddharthnagar, Shravasti, Gonda, Basti, Ayodhya and Sultanpur district) of Uttar Pradesh. Twenty fruits of ber were randomly selected from all the direction of trees from each site collected then kept into bags and tagged by the number and subjected to physico-chemical analysis in laboratory. Physical parameters like stone weight was measure by electronic weighting machine, height of tree and stem girth were estimated with the help of measuring tape data on fruit shape, fruit apex, fruit base, mature fruit colour, immature fruit colour, tree shape, leaf shape, leaf apex, leaf margin, Stone shape, stone apex, stone base, leaf colour, thorn shape, pulp colour and , pulp texture were

Comment [MF2]: abbreviations

observed using standard and recommended techniques.

## Results and Discussion

The pulp colour was recorded immediately after harvesting of fruit. The pulp colours were found creamy in colour in all fruits. The pulp texture in maximum genotype are soft in texture followed by medium soft texture. All genotypes have green leaf colour. Similar results were also reported by Nissi and Joshi (2016), Ghosal and Mishra (2009), Bal (2006), Pareek *et al.* (2006), Muhammad *et al.* (2013), Shivnath *et al.* (2009), Sandeep *et al.* (2017), Kumar *et al.* (2021), Gupta (2018), Kumar *et al.* (2020), Naseem *et al.* (2019), Kumar *et al.* (2023), Khan *et al.* (2023) and Vikalpet *et al.* (2023).

Data recorded on leaf shape clearly revealed that all genotypes of **sampled** ber showed four shape and variation namely: Cordate, Oval, Obovate and Elliptic. Among all genotypes, nine genotypes had Cordate shape, eleven genotype had Oval shape, nine genotypes had Obovate shape and ten genotypes had Elliptic shape. The leaf apex was also observed in all forty different genotypes among them nine genotype have Acute leaf shape, twenty six have obtuse shaped and eight have rounded shaped. The leaf margin of ber was also observed in different genotypes is serrate leaf margin. These results in accordance with the earlier findings of Nissi and Joshi (2016), Ghosal and Mishra (2009), Bal (2006) Pareek *et al.* (2006), Muhammad *et al.* (2013), Shivnath *et al.* (2009), Sandeep *et al.* (2017), Kumar *et al.* (2021), Gupta (2018), Kumar *et al.* (2020), Naseem *et al.* (2019), Kumar *et al.* (2023), Khan *et al.* (2023) and Vikalpet *et al.* (2023).

In general, fruit stone have obtuse shape, acute shape, oblong shape, round shape and club shape. Among all genotype in study 12 have obtuse shape, eight have acute shape, three have oblong shape and seven have round shape stone. Data recorded clearly revealed that apex stone are shown in twenty genotype are acute stone apex and eighteen genotype obtuse stone apex. The Data noted on stone base among genotypes are presented in stone base was twenty seven are obtuse shape, nine are Acute stone base and four are Apiculate stone base. Similar variations in the seed shape of wood apples were reported by Nissi and Joshi (2016), Ghosal and Mishra (2009), Bal (2006) Pareek *et al.*, (2006), Muhammad *et al.*, (2013), Shivnath *et al.*, (2009), Sandeep *et al.*, (2017), Kumar *et al.*, (2021), Gupta (2018), Kumar *et al.*, (2020), Naseem *et al.*, (2019), Kumar *et al.*, (2023), Khan *et al.*, (2023) and Vikalpet *et al.*, (2023).

The highest stone weight (1.58g) was recorded in the genotype - 1 followed by

genotype -31 (1.51g) whereas lowest stone weight (0.56 g) was recorded in the genotype - 29. Similar variations in stone weight of berfruit were reported by Vikalpet *et al.*, (2023), Kumar *et al.*,(2023), Ram *et al.*,(2008),Kumar *at al.*, (2021)),Esfandabadiet *al.*, (2022),Kumar *et al.*, (2020),Kumar *et al.*,(2010),Tarai and Ghosh (2010), Jan Brindzaet *al.*, (2011), Islam *et al.*, (2012), Muhammad *et al.*, (2013), Singh *et al.*,(2015),Akhtaret *al.*, (2017),Esfandabadiet *al.*, (2022),Yadavet *al.*,(2017),Chandelet *al.*,(2018),Naseemet *al.*, (2019), Kumar *et al.*, (2021), in woodapple fruits,Bairwaet *al.*,(2022),Siddiqueet *al.*, (2022), Ahmad *et al.*,(2023), Khan *et al.*, (2023),Kambleet *al.*,(2023),Kumar *et al.*,(2023) and Vikalpet *al.*, (2023)in ber fruits.

The highest height of tree (5.50 m) was recorded in genotype - 8 followed in genotype -16 (5.02m). However, the lowest (3.43) height of tree was recorded in genotype-23. Maximum stem girth was recorded in the genotype – 1 (62.63cm.) followed by genotype - 12 (60.88 cm.) while the minimum stem girth was recorded in the genotype - 17 (25.90 cm.). Height and stem girth variability in ber might be due to the cell division process and elongation of new cells formed or other genetic factors or micro-climatic conditions on the germplasm site. These results are in accordance with the earlier findings of Vikalpet *al.*, (2023), Kumar *et al.*,(2023), Ram *et al.*,(2008),Kumar *at al.*, (2021)),Esfandabadiet *al.*, (2022),Kumar *et al.*, (2020),Kumar *et al.*,(2010),Tarai and Ghosh (2010), Jan Brindzaet *al.*, (2011), Islam *et al.*, (2012), Muhammad *et al.*, (2013), Singh *et al.*,(2015),Akhtaret *al.*, (2017),Esfandabadiet *al.*, (2022),Yadavet *al.*,(2017),Chandelet *al.*,(2018),Naseemet *al.*, (2019), Kumar *et al.*, (2021), in woodapple fruits,Bairwaet *al.*,(2022),Siddiqueet *al.*, (2022), Ahmad *et al.*,(2023), Khan *et al.*, (2023),Kambleet *al.*,(2023), Kumar *et al.*,(2023) and Vikalpet *al.*, (2023)in ber fruits.

## **Conclusions**

Based on the results it is reported that significant variation was observed in physical and morphological traits of different ber genotypes by which it can be concluded that the ber genotypes showed a wide genetic diversity in the existing population of rain-fed areas in eastern region of Uttar Pradesh, in various quantitative, qualitative and morphological traits. Among these genotypes, genotypes –4,5,7, 18, and 30 were screened as promising genotypes. These promising genotypes can be recommended for commercial multiplication, growing at farmer's field and conservation in the field gene bank for further evaluation and release as cultivars in the future.

## Reference:

- Ahmad R., Anjum M. A., Ercisli S., Malik W., Sakar E., Karunakaran R., (2023). Physico-chemical properties revealed huge diversity in 50 date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.) genotypes *Folia Hort.* 35(1): 1–16
- Akhtar Y., Choudhary R., Sharma K. C. and Sharma M., (2017). Genetic variability and relationship studies of Ber *Ziziphus nummularia* (Burm. F.) using morphological and molecular markers *Pharmacogn J.* ; 9(3): 417-424.
- Bairwa, Kumar S.; Maji, Verma S., Kumar N (2022). Variability study of ber fruits (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lamk.) collected from naturally grown plants through morphological characterization. : *South Asian Journal of Experimental Biology* . Vol. 12 Issue 2, p262-266.
- Bal, J.S. (2006). Identification of ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lamk) Cultivars through vegetative and fruit characters *ISHS Acta Horti.* 317: Fruit Breeding and Genetics.
- Baloda S., Sehrawat S.K., Yadav B.S., Ahlawat V.P., and Singh (2012). Present status of ber production and future thrusts in India- a review. *Agri. Reviews*, 33 (3): 256-264.
- Chandel S. S., Dikshit SN. and Sharma H.G. (2018)., Collection and evaluation of custard apple (*Annona squamosa* L.) genotypes in Chhattisgarh plains *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry.* SP2: 149-152
- Croueour, G.L., Thepenier, P., Richard, B., Petermann, C., Ghedira, K. and Zeches-Hanrot, M. 2002. Lotusine G: a new cyclopeptide alkaloid from *Ziziphus lotus*. *Fitoterapia*, 73(1): 63-68.
- Ezhilarasi, E. and C. Tamilmani. 2009. Influence of paddy hunk on the ripening of fruit of (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lamk.). *ARP. J. Agric. and Biological Science*, 4(6): 29-42.
- Islam, M. B. and M. P. Simmons. (2006). A thorny dilemma: testing alternative intrageneric classifications within *Ziziphus* (Rhamnaceae). *Syst. Bot.* 31:826-842.
- Jalaie-Esfandabadi G., Asadi-Gharneh H. A., and Motalebipour E. Z. (2022). A comprehensive study of Iranian wild jujube (*Ziziphus jujuba* Mill.) by morphological and biochemical traits. *Journal of Plant Physiology and Breeding.* 12(2): 93-104.
- Jan Brindza., Margita, K., Olga, G., Vladimir, V., Lucia, K. and Gabriela, E. (2011). Morphological and organoleptic nature of *Ziziphus Jujube* Mill. 5: 4.
- Khan U. A., Qadri R., Akram M. T., Khan M. A., Nisar N., Azam M., Khan M. M., and Hussain K., (2023). Physio-Chemical Assessment Of Jujube (*Ziziphus M*

**Comment [MF3]:** Arrange sources according to the journal system  
Rewrite the sources well please

*auritiana* L.) Genotypes At Three Ripening Stages Grown Under Cholistan Desert, Pakistan *Journal of Animal & Plant Sciences*. 3(2): 285-295.

Kumar D. , Prakash Om., Sarfaraj , Kumar S. , Kumar V. and Yadav S., (2023). Varietal Evaluation of Ber (*Zizyphusauritiana*Lamk.) for Yield and Fruits Quality Attributes under Bundelkhand Region of Uttar Pradesh, India *International Journal of*

Kumar D., and Nath V., (2010). Variability in Bael (*Aeglemarmelos* Correa) Genotypes from Orissa *Indian J. Plant Genet. Resour.* 23(3): 303-305.

Kumar, P., Srivastava A.K., Prakash Om, Thakur, N. and Singh P (2021). Germplasm Survey, Collection and Evaluation of ber (*Zizyphusauritiana*Lamk) under Bundelkhand Region of Uttar Pradesh. *Ind. J. Pure App. Biosci.* 9(1), 259-266.

Li, J.W., Ding, S.D. and Ding, X.L. 2005. Comparison of antioxidant capacities of extracts from five cultivars of Chinese jujube. *Process Biochemistry*, 40(11): 3607-3613.

Maydell, H. 1986. Tree shrubs for the sahel, their characteristics and uses. Deutsche Gesellschaft fur Technische Zusammenarbeit (GTZ), Federal Republic of Germany. Pp. 400-402.

Muhammad, F.D.R., Raheel, A., Basra, S.M.A., Muhammad, M.K. and Iqar, A.K. (2013) Morphological, characterization of leaves and fruit of Jujube (*Zizyphusauritiana*Lamk). Germplasm in Faisalabad, Pakistan. *Pakistan J. Agric. Sci.* 50 (2): 211-216.

Mukhtar, H.M., Ansari, S.H., Ali, M. and Naved, T. 2004. New compounds from *Zizyphus vulgaris*. *Pharmaceutical Biology*, 42(7): 508-511.

Naseem, S., Muhammad, J. J., Naqvi, S. A. and Faisal, S. A. (2019). Exploitation of diversity in domesticated and wild ber (*Zizyphusauritiana*Lamk.) germplasm for conservation and breeding in Pakistan. *Scientia Horticulturae*.249: 228-239.

Pareek, O.P. (2001) Fruit of the Future Ber. International centre for Underutilization crops, University of Southampton, Southampton UK.

Pareek, S. and Awasthi, O.P. (2006). Correlation and regression analysis in ber (*ZizyphusMauritiana*Lamk) cv. SebProg. *Hort.* 38(2) 188.

Rajadurai K. R., Rajkumar J. And Rajababu C., (2022). Evaluation of different ber (*Zizyphusauritiana*) germplasm under rainfedvertisols of Tamil Nadu, *IndiaRes. Crop.* 23 (3) : 602-607.

Ram, R.B., ganesh, S., Deepa. H., Dwivedi. And Abdul, K. (2008). Physic-chemical studies on ber (*Zizyphusauritiana*Lamk) germplasm under sodic soil condition of Lucknow. *India J. Agro forestry*.10 (1): 78-80.

S. Kamble, V.R. Joshi, S.S. Kulkarni, A. Wanave and R. Kumar., Comparative studies on ber genotypes under arid conditions of Western Maharashtra Journal of Environmental Biology p-ISSN: 0254-8704

Siddique I. M., Sharif N.\*, Naseer M., Khan M. N, Noreen A. Asif M., Faiz H., Aslam K. And Mehreen N. (2022). A morpo-biochemical perspective on sixteen promising sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis* (L.) Osbeck) cultivars *Plant Cell Biotechnology and Molecular Biology* 23(13&14):69-87.

Singh S. K., Singh A., Nath V., Parthasarathy VA., Sthapit B., Rajan S and Vinoth., (2015). Genetic Diversity in Seedling Populations of Mango *Indian J. Plant Genet. Resour.* 28(1): 123-131.

Tarai, R. K. and Ghosh, S. N. (2010) "Varietal Evaluation for Yield and Yield Parameters of Ber under Semi-Arid Region of West Bengal", *Journal of Horticultural Sciences*, 5(1), pp. 17–20.

Vikalp, Kumar M., Sharma JR., Bishnoi M., Rani S. and Arjoo, (2023). Evaluation of Ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lamk.) germplasm under semi-arid conditions of Haryana *The Pharma Innovation Journal*; 12(8): 660-664.

Yadav V., Singh A. K., Singh S. and Rao V V A., (2017). Variability in custard apple (*Annonasquamosa*) genotypes for quality characters from Gujarat *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 87 (12): 1627–32.

Yaman M., (2022). Evaluation of genetic diversity by morphological, biochemical and molecular markers in sour cherry genotypes *Molecular Biology Reports*. 49:5293–5301

**(Table 1 a)**

Genotype	Stone Weight (g.)	Hight of Tree (m.)	Stem girth (cm.)
----------	-------------------	--------------------	------------------

	2021-2022	2021-2022	2021-2022	2021-2022	2022-2023	Pool Data	2021-2022	2022-2023	Pool Data
<b>Genotype-1</b>	1.77	1.59	1.58	4.67	4.97	4.82	61.83	63.43	62.63
<b>Genotype-2</b>	1.05	1.07	1.06	4.00	4.17	4.08	35.68	37.51	36.60
<b>Genotype-3</b>	0.75	0.76	0.75	5.00	5.53	5.27	48.39	50.59	49.49
<b>Genotype-4</b>	0.58	0.61	0.60	4.80	5.13	4.97	56.40	58.35	57.37
<b>Genotype-5</b>	1.19	1.25	1.22	3.67	3.87	3.77	55.47	58.01	56.74
<b>Genotype-6</b>	0.86	0.90	0.88	4.73	5.10	4.92	29.38	31.71	30.55
<b>Genotype-7</b>	0.75	0.80	0.78	4.10	4.50	4.30	58.61	60.87	59.74
<b>Genotype-8</b>	0.74	0.79	0.77	5.37	5.63	5.50	27.94	30.04	28.99
<b>Genotype-9</b>	0.57	0.59	0.58	5.07	5.83	5.45	34.51	36.85	35.68
<b>Genotype-10</b>	0.83	0.89	0.86	4.13	4.40	4.27	41.52	43.83	42.68
<b>Genotype-11</b>	0.77	0.79	0.78	4.90	4.90	4.90	45.60	47.60	46.60
<b>Genotype-12</b>	0.65	0.68	0.67	3.37	3.80	3.58	59.06	62.70	60.88
<b>Genotype-13</b>	0.54	0.74	0.64	3.70	4.43	4.07	54.63	57.01	55.82
<b>Genotype-14</b>	0.56	0.61	0.59	5.00	5.13	5.07	45.49	47.72	46.61
<b>Genotype-15</b>	0.64	1.04	0.84	3.80	3.47	3.63	43.68	46.35	45.01
<b>Genotype-16</b>	0.56	0.63	0.60	4.97	5.07	5.02	41.63	44.38	43.01
<b>Genotype-17</b>	0.63	0.70	0.67	3.60	3.77	3.68	24.66	27.13	25.90
<b>Genotype-18</b>	0.56	0.60	0.58	3.97	4.30	4.13	36.64	38.49	37.57
<b>Genotype-19</b>	0.73	0.75	0.74	4.23	4.33	4.28	26.66	28.43	27.54
<b>Genotype-20</b>	0.66	0.69	0.68	3.62	3.87	3.74	38.85	40.29	39.57
<b>Genotype-21</b>	0.54	0.59	0.57	3.87	3.93	3.90	47.37	48.69	48.03
<b>Genotype-22</b>	1.03	1.08	1.05	4.60	4.67	4.63	35.87	38.40	37.13
<b>Genotype-23</b>	0.61	0.68	0.64	3.22	3.63	3.43	28.12	33.46	30.79
<b>Genotype-24</b>	0.61	0.66	0.64	4.45	4.47	4.46	34.65	36.86	35.76
<b>Genotype-25</b>	0.84	0.90	0.87	4.08	4.17	4.13	54.76	57.48	56.12
<b>Genotype-26</b>	0.53	0.60	0.57	4.47	4.60	4.53	38.57	40.46	39.52
<b>Genotype-27</b>	0.59	0.66	0.62	4.13	4.30	4.22	42.61	44.08	43.35
<b>Genotype-28</b>	0.72	0.76	0.74	3.47	3.67	3.57	28.47	31.25	29.86
<b>Genotype-29</b>	0.54	0.58	0.56	3.80	3.87	3.83	38.52	41.23	39.88
<b>Genotype-30</b>	0.65	0.70	0.68	4.47	4.57	4.52	42.51	44.73	43.62
<b>Genotype-31</b>	1.58	1.44	1.51	4.07	4.17	4.12	43.58	46.49	45.04
<b>Genotype-32</b>	1.00	1.05	1.03	3.40	3.73	3.57	27.46	28.67	28.07
<b>Genotype-33</b>	1.24	1.54	1.39	4.18	4.23	4.21	57.38	61.10	59.24
<b>Genotype-34</b>	1.17	1.04	1.11	3.40	3.93	3.67	26.66	30.38	28.52
<b>Genotype-35</b>	0.81	0.89	0.85	3.97	4.03	4.00	31.53	34.25	32.89
<b>Genotype-36</b>	0.67	0.75	0.71	4.63	4.87	4.75	36.50	40.35	38.43
<b>Genotype-37</b>	0.90	0.98	0.94	4.53	2.97	3.75	41.42	44.26	42.84
<b>Genotype-38</b>	1.18	1.21	1.20	3.57	3.70	3.63	24.55	27.70	26.13
<b>Genotype-39</b>	1.24	1.06	1.15	3.93	4.03	3.98	28.64	31.73	30.19
<b>Genotype-40</b>	0.97	1.05	1.01	3.87	3.97	3.92	49.55	52.47	51.01
<b>C.D.</b>	0.18	0.09	0.15	1.00	0.95	0.55	0.50	1.56	3.76
<b>SE(m)</b>	0.07	0.03	0.05	0.35	0.34	0.19	0.18	0.55	1.31
<b>Average</b>	0.82	0.87	0.84	4.17	4.34	4.26	40.63	43.13	41.88
<b>Range</b>	0.53-1.77	0.58-1.59	0.56-1.58	3.22-5.37	2.97-5.83	3.43-5.50	24.55-61.83	27.13-63.43	25.90-62.63

Genotype	Leaf Shape	Leaf Apex	Leaf Margin	Stone Shape	Stone Apex	Stone Base	Leaf Colour	Thorn Shape	Pulp Colour	Pulp Texture
Genotype-1	Cordate	Acute	Serrate	Obtuse	Acute	Obtuse	Green	All curved	Creamy	Soft
Genotype-2	Oval	Acute	Serrate	Acute	Acute	Acute	Green	All curved	Creamy	Soft
Genotype-3	Oval	Acute	Serrate	Round	Obtuse	Obtuse	Green	All curved	Creamy	Soft
Genotype-4	Cordate	Acute	Serrate	Oblong	Acute	Apiculate	Green	All curved	Creamy	Soft
Genotype-5	Elliptic	Acute	Serrate	Oblong	Acute	Obtuse	Green	All curved	Creamy	Soft
Genotype-6	Elliptic	Acute	Serrate	Oblong	Acute	Obtuse	Green	All curved	Creamy	Soft
Genotype-7	Elliptic	Obtuse	Serrate	Obtuse	Obtuse	Obtuse	Green	All curved	Creamy	Soft
Genotype-8	Oval	Obtuse	Serrate	Obtuse	Obtuse	Acute	Green	All curved	Creamy	Soft
Genotype-9	Oval	Obtuse	Serrate	Acute	Obtuse	Acute	Green	All curved	Creamy	Soft
Genotype-10	Oval	Obtuse	Serrate	Acute	Acute	Obtuse	Green	All curved	Creamy	Medium
Genotype-11	Obovate	Obtuse	Serrate	Round	Obtuse	Obtuse	Green	All curved	Creamy	Medium
Genotype-12	Cordate	Obtuse	Serrate	Round	Obtuse	Obtuse	Green	All curved	Creamy	Medium
Genotype-13	Cordate	Obtuse	Serrate	Obtuse	Obtuse	Acute	Green	All curved	Creamy	Soft
Genotype-14	Obovate	Obtuse	Serrate	Spindle	Acute	Obtuse	Green	All curved	Creamy	Soft
Genotype-15	Obovate	Obtuse	Serrate	Acute	Acute	Obtuse	Green	All curved	Creamy	Soft
Genotype-16	Obovate	Obtuse	Serrate	Round	Obtuse	Apiculate	Green	All curved	Creamy	Soft
Genotype-17	Cordate	Obtuse	Serrate	Obtuse	Acute	Obtuse	Green	All curved	Creamy	Medium
Genotype-18	Elliptic	Rounded	Serrate	Obtuse	Acute	Obtuse	Green	All curved	Creamy	Medium
Genotype-19	Elliptic	Rounded	Serrate	Club	Obtuse	Obtuse	Green	All curved	Creamy	Medium
Genotype-20	Oval	Rounded	Serrate	Obtuse	Acute	Obtuse	Green	All curved	Creamy	Medium
Genotype-21	Obovate	Rounded	Serrate	Round	Obtuse	Apiculate	Green	All curved	Creamy	Medium
Genotype-22	Cordate	Rounded	Serrate	Acute	Acute	Obtuse	Green	All curved	Creamy	Soft
Genotype-23	Cordate	Rounded	Serrate	Club	Acute	Obtuse	Green	All curved	Creamy	Soft
Genotype-24	Cordate	Rounded	Serrate	Club	Acute	Acute	Green	All curved	Creamy	Soft
Genotype-25	Elliptic	Rounded	Serrate	Acute	Obtuse	Obtuse	Green	All curved	Creamy	Soft
Genotype-26	Elliptic	Obtuse	Serrate	Obtuse	Obtuse	Obtuse	Green	All curved	Creamy	Soft
Genotype-27	Obovate	Obtuse	Serrate	Round	Acute	Obtuse	Green	All curved	Creamy	Soft
Genotype-28	Oval	Obtuse	Serrate	Acute	Obtuse	Obtuse	Green	All curved	Creamy	Medium
Genotype-29	Oval	Obtuse	Serrate	Club	Obtuse	Acute	Green	All curved	Creamy	Medium

Genotype-30	Obovate	Obtuse	Serrate	Obtuse	Obtuse	Obtuse	Green	All curved	Creamy	Medium
Genotype-31	Obovate	Obtuse	Serrate	Round	Obtuse	Obtuse	Green	All curved	Creamy	Soft
Genotype-32	Cordate	Obtuse	Serrate	Acute	Acute	Acute	Green	All curved	Creamy	Soft
Genotype-33	Elliptic	Obtuse	Serrate	Club	Obtuse	Obtuse	Green	All curved	Creamy	Soft
Genotype-34	Elliptic	Obtuse	Serrate	Obtuse	Acute	Apiculate	Green	All curved	Creamy	Soft
Genotype-35	Obovate	Obtuse	Serrate	Obtuse	Obtuse	Obtuse	Green	All curved	Creamy	Medium
Genotype-36	Oval	Obtuse	Serrate	Round	Obtuse	Obtuse	Green	All curved	Creamy	Medium
Genotype-37	Oval	Obtuse	Serrate	Obtuse	Acute	Acute	Green	All curved	Creamy	Soft
Genotype-38	Oval	Obtuse	Serrate	Acute	Obtuse	Obtuse	Green	All curved	Creamy	Soft
Genotype-39	Elliptic	Obtuse	Serrate	Club	Acute	Obtuse	Green	All curved	Creamy	Soft
Genotype-40	Cordate	Obtuse	Serrate	Obtuse	Acute	Acute	Green	All curved	Creamy	Medium

**(Table 1b)**

**Table 1 a , 1b : Morphological and quantitative parameter of ber**