

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_110954
Title of the Manuscript:	Agro-climatic update of areas favorable to Robusta and Arabusta coffee growing in Ivory Coast
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, the manuscript contains valuable information for the scientific community, however I believe that a more in-depth analysis of the results is necessary, along with a more robust discussion. To improve your article, it is necessary to provide more details about the differences between the existing maps and the new one proposed by your study. What are the improvements? How is the land use in the region currently, and what changes could be made to improve local agriculture based on the map produced by this study? Have there been any similar studies that have yielded the expected results for this study? By having this type of discussion and improving the way you present your results, you can enrich your article and increase the reach of your findings. No, I suggest to change for "Agro-climatic update of favourable areas to Robusta and Arabusta coffee growing in Ivory Coast". Yes. Yes. Yes, but the methodology needs some clarification (see comments in the manuscript). No, I suggest adding more references in the discussion section. This will help in comparing the results with similar studies in other areas and in explaining the similarities or differences between those studies and your own. Although the study is good, a more in-depth discussion of the results is needed to make it more robust. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Yes, the manuscript is well written.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Please check comments on the manuscript.	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fernanda Almeida Bócoli
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Lavras, Brazil