

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Advances in Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AIR_111158</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Audit Committee Effectiveness and Earnings Quality: perception based evidence from Uganda</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>Yes, it is very important to know and measure the depth of research results and the originality of a scientific work on a research object</b></p> <p>2. <b>Role of Audit Committee Effectiveness and Revenue Quality: Perception-Based Evidence from Uganda.</b></p> <p>3. <b>In the abstract, needs to be added, other dimensions must be written to make it clearer about the dimensions used.</b></p> <p>4. <b>It is necessary to arrange the writing structure well, the sentences relating to each paragraph in accordance with the rules of scientific work in Ugandan state institutions.</b></p> <p>5. <b>Quite good, but needs to be improved on the letters, writing and grammar.</b></p> <p>6. <b>In general, quality articles from journals indexed by Scopus, Thomson and Elseiver may be references for this research.</b></p> <p><b>(Yes, this article needs to be corrected in terms of structure and only a few important tables are included, then the relevance of the logic of the discussion still needs to be corrected in terms of grammar and turnitin)</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p><b>English is really needed, especially for other people to read, so it's easier to understand, so it needs to be propped up in grammar.</b></p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>Optional/General comments. needs to be revised carefully to maintain the quality of the research and make it easier for stakeholders to read</b></p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jamaluddin Majid</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Alauddin State Islamic University, Indonesia</b>