

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_111688
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Microbial Inoculants on Leghemoglobin Content in Nodules and Microbial Populations in Rhizosphere of Soybean in a Vertisols
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, it is important for scientific community because it provides additional knowledge for improving soil fertility and productivity.</p> <p>2. The title could be better if modified as, "Effect of Microbial Inoculants on Nodules and Microbial Populations in Rhizosphere of Soybean in a Vertisols"</p> <p>3. Yes.</p> <p>4. Yes, but there are some problems in the result and discussion section, that is data in the Table unnecessarily repeated in this section. Moreover, the significance of the finding has not been discussed with supporting statistical verification. There is also deficiency in comparing the present finding with other similar works.</p> <p>5. Yes.</p> <p>7. I think references are not sufficient and relevant works can be used in comparison with the present work.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, but there are inconsistencies of punctuation in the reference section (I have highlighted) and typographic errors.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This manuscript is an important work and should be published after making minor revisions mentioned above.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zelege Wolde Tenssay
Department, University & Country	Bethzatha Advanced Medical Laboratory, Ethiopia