

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_111687
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of Integrated Nutrient Management on Chickpea Productivity and Soil Fertility Status under Rice – Chickpea Cropping System at Farmers Field of Balaghat District of Madhya Pradesh
Type of the Article	Requires correction

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Up to a great extent</p> <p>To some extent, corrections mentioned</p> <p>Yes, but require grammatical check</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No. Statistical analysis to be done before comparing the data. Details about the number of replications and other details yet to be included</p> <p>References are OK</p> <p>The article requires serious modifications</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Statistical analysis of the data is very compulsory 2. Checking plagiarism is to be done 3. Lot of grammar mistakes are there 4. Results to be explained more with clarity of points during discussion 5. Headings of the tables to be made self explanatory 6. Details about the no of locations wherein the demonstrations were conducted to be mentioned. <p>ABSTRACT Grammatical corrections to be taken care of so as to convey clear messages</p> <p>Methodology Ensure that the no of replications and design is as per the standards set for statistical analysis What is the impact of the HRD aspects on the results or have an impact analysis</p> <p>Results and discussion Statistical analysis is pending Majority of the data appear to be statistically insignificant. So discuss the results with information on statical analysis</p> <p>CONCLUSION Conclude the results based on the significance of the results</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes. But grammar to be corrected</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Requires serious modifications as mentioned above</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Thulasi V
Department, University & Country	Kerala Agricultural University, India