

# Influence of Planting techniques and Integrated Nutrient Management on soil health in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)

---

## ABSTRACT

The study investigated the impact of different planting techniques and nitrogen management at Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture & Technology, Meerut (UP) during the rainy (*khari*) season of 2019-20 on soil health in rice. Soil samples were collected at two depths, air-dried, and analyzed for aggregate size distribution using wet sieving. Particulate organic carbon (POC) was determined through aggregate slaking, while total organic carbon (TOC) content was assessed using rapid titration. Microbial biomass carbon (MBC) was calculated by incubating soil samples, followed by fumigation-extraction methods. Results revealed that soil pH and electrical conductivity remained unaffected by planting techniques and fertility levels. Aggregate size distribution analysis revealed that the Furrow Irrigated Raised Bed (FIRB) treatment promoted larger macro-aggregates (>2mm) at varying soil depths, while Conventional Tillage (CT) encouraged smaller micro-aggregates. Reduced Tillage (RT) combined with Farmyard Manure (FYM) and chemical fertilizer increased POC and TOC content, emphasizing the importance of reduced soil disturbance and organic matter addition. Conversely, CT exhibited lower POC and TOC levels due to intensive soil disturbance. RT with FYM and chemical fertilizer significantly enhanced soil MBC, highlighting their role in improving soil health and fertility.

**Keywords:** Farm yard manure, Macro aggregates, Micro aggregates, Microbial biomass carbon, Planting techniques

## 1. INTRODUCTION

India is the world's second-largest producer and consumer of rice. With a yield of 2.57 tonnes ha<sup>-1</sup> and a production rate of 112.76 million tonnes, rice is cultivated on 43.77 million hectares in India. Uttar Pradesh is one of the biggest states for rice production, with 5.86 million hectares under cultivation and a yield of 15.54 million tonnes ha<sup>-1</sup>. (2018-19 Report of the Directorate of Economics and Statistics). Improving crop productivity along with soil health is critical for sustainable rice production. However, improper use of establishment methods and imbalance nutrient management can lead to a decline soil health, which can have negative effects on crop yield and quality [1]. Therefore, it is essential to improve soil health for sustainable rice production. Sustainable rice production requires adopting conservation tillage practices to minimize soil disturbance and erosion, promoting better soil structure, moisture retention and nutrient availability. Raised beds with furrow irrigation increase water efficiency and maintain an ideal soil moisture balance [2]. Unpuddled transplanted rice, which avoids traditional flooding and tilling, conserves water, energy and minimizes soil compaction. This method enhances nutrient uptake efficiency and increases soil health making it a promising option for sustainable rice production [3]. Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) is another critical aspect of promoting soil health in rice cultivation. Imbalanced application of chemical fertilizers can lead to soil degradation and nutrient imbalances. To mitigate these issues, INM involves a combination of organic and inorganic fertilizers tailored to meet the specific nutrient requirements of the soil and crop. By incorporating farm yard manure, along with judicious use of chemical fertilizers, improve soil fertility, microbial activity and overall soil health [4]. This approach also contributes to reduced environmental pollution and enhances the sustainability of rice production systems.

## 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

### 2.1. Experiment details

The field experiment was conducted at Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture & Technology, Meerut (U.P.), during *kharif* season (2019-2020). It was laid out in split plot design with three replications. The treatments comprised of four planting techniques *viz.* (M1-Reduced Tillage-Transplanted Rice (RT-TPR), M2-Conventional Tillage-Transplanted Rice (CT-TPR), M3-Furrow Irrigated Raised Beds (FIRB), M4-Unpuddled-Transplanted Rice (UP-TPR)) as main plot treatments and six fertility levels *viz.* (S1-Control, S2-100% NPK Chemical fertilizer, S3-100% N (FYM), S4-50% NPK + 50% N (FYM), S5-75% NPK + 25% N (FYM), S6-100% NPK + 25% N(FYM)) as subplot treatments and rice variety PB1 was tested. The soil of experimental site was low in organic carbon (0.42 %), nitrogen (195.3 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and medium in available phosphorus (12.4 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and available potassium (118.2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and alkaline in reaction.

### 2.2. Separation of soil aggregates

Soil samples were collected from multiple locations within each plot at two depths (0-15 and 15-30 cm) and combined to create composite samples. These samples were air dried, and larger clods were crushed and sieved to obtain soil particles larger than 5-8 mm. For wet sieving analysis, 100 g of soil passed through a 5-mm sieve and was separated into four aggregate size classes: coarse macroaggregate (>2.0 mm), mesoaggregate (2.0-0.25 mm), microaggregate (0.25-0.05 mm), and 'silt + clay' sized fractions (0.05 mm) using the wet sieving method (Yoder, 1936). Water stable aggregates were determined for one sample, while the other was dispersed with 0.5 percent (w/v) sodium hexametaphosphate in a 1:3 (soil: solution) ratio, followed by mechanical stirring and vertical oscillation. After drying, water stable aggregates and primary particles of various sizes were collected and weighed separately. These metrics represented the aggregation status after adjusting for sand content.

### 2.3. Particulate organic carbon (POC)

To encourage aggregate slaking, 50 g of air-dried soil sample was soaked in deionized water for 30 minutes. The slurry was placed onto a 250µm sieve within a cylinder and shaken at 120rpm with 50 glass beads of 10-mm diameter. Microaggregates passing through the 250 µm filter were collected in a 25µm bottom sieve. The material retained on the 250µm sieve was termed coarse POM, consisting of coarse material (POM and sand from 250 to 2,000 µm). To separate the fine POM, aggregates retained on the 25µm sieve (sized from 25 to 250 µm) were shaken for 18 hours with 25 ml of 0.5 g l<sup>-1</sup> sodium hexametaphosphate and 12 glass beads of 4-mm diameter [5].

### 2.4. Total organic carbon (TOC)

TOC content was determined by using Walkley and Black's (1934) rapid titration method and computed using Eq. (1):

TOC stock (Mg C ha<sup>-1</sup>) = TOC content (g C kg<sup>-1</sup>) × BD (Mg m<sup>-3</sup>) × Soil layer (m) × 10 (1) Where, BD is bulk density of the particular soil layer (BD values for 0-5 cm and 5-15 cm soil layer were 1.32 and 1.34 Mg m<sup>-3</sup>, respectively).

### 2.5. Microbial biomass carbon (MBC)

Calculate soil microbial biomass by incubating soil samples at 25°C for 7 days in the dark, followed by separation into fumigated and nonfumigated subsamples. Soil moisture was adjusted to 55 percent of field water capacity. For MBC, 30 g dry weight soil samples were fumigated with CHCl<sub>3</sub> for 24 hours at 25 °C. After removing CHCl<sub>3</sub>, samples were incubated at 25 °C for 10 days in sealed Mason jars with vials containing 1.0 ml 2 M NaOH. CO<sub>2</sub>-C flush emitted during fumigation was determined by HCl titration [6].

The MBC was computed using Eq. (2):  $MBC (mg\ kg^{-1}) = (F_c - UF_c) / K_c$  (2)

Where,  $F_c$  is CO<sub>2</sub> evolved from the fumigated soil,  $UF_c$  is CO<sub>2</sub> evolved from the unfumigated soil, and  $K_c$  is a factor with value of 0.41 Anderson and Domsch, (1978).

For MBN, fumigated and non-fumigated soil samples after 10-day incubation were extracted with 2 M KCl (5:1 ratio of extractant: soil) for 1 h and inorganic N was determined by the Kjeldahl distillation as

described by Keeney and Nelson (1982). The MBN was computed using Eq. (3):  $MBN (mg\ kg^{-1}) = (Fn - UFn) / Kn$  (3)

Where, Fn is mineral N from fumigated soil, UFn is mineral N from unfumigated soil, and Kn is a factor with value of 0.57 [7].

## 2.6. Statistical analysis

Collected data were analyzed with r programme for descriptive statistical analysis (Mean and Standard Error of Mean), where the mean differences were adjudged with Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT).

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1. Soil pH & EC

The soil pH & EC (Table 1) was not significantly influenced by planting techniques and fertility levels. Among the different fertility levels, the highest and lowest values of soil pH & EC were observed with S<sub>2</sub> (100% RDF) and Control treatment, respectively.

### 3.2. Aggregate size distribution

The data (Figure 1) illustrates aggregate size distribution in different treatments at varying soil depths. At the 0 to 10 cm depth, FIRB treatment had the highest macro-aggregates (>2mm) at 6.25%, followed by RT-TPR (5.5%), UP-TPR (3.5%), and CT-TPR (2.43%). Conversely, CT-TPR had the highest micro-aggregates (<0.25mm) at 84.75%, followed by UP-TPR (48.01%), RT-TPR (57%), and FIRB (41.64%). Similar trends were observed at the 10 to 20 cm depth, with FIRB having the highest macro-aggregates (6.51%), and CT-TPR maintaining the highest micro-aggregates (98.73%). At 20 to 30 cm depth, FIRB showed the highest macro-aggregates (6.68%), and CT-TPR had the highest micro-aggregates (95.86%). Indicating the effectiveness of the practices employed in FIRB cultivation, such as raised bed formation and furrow irrigation. These practices likely encouraged the formation and stability of larger soil aggregates, leading to the observed results. Similar results found by [8]. CT-TPR plots had the highest percentage of micro-aggregates among the planting techniques, The tillage operations might have broken down larger aggregates into smaller particles, leading to the prevalence of micro-aggregates in the soil. The consistent trends observed at different soil depths suggest that these practices have a lasting impact on soil structure and aggregate formation, similar findings also reported by [8].

### 3.3. Particulate organic carbon

Results (Table 2) indicate significant differences in POC content due to planting techniques and fertility levels. At 0-5 cm depth, M1 RT-TPR showed the highest POC content (1009 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>), followed by M3 FIRB-TPR (992 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>), M4 Unpuddled-TPR (938 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>), and M2 CT-TPR (766 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>). Deeper at 5-15 cm, M1 RT-TPR again had the highest POC (634 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>), followed by M3 FIRB-TPR (623 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>), M4 Unpuddled-TPR (589 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>), and M2 CT-TPR (481 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>). The highest POC content was consistently under M1 RT-TPR, likely due to reduced soil disturbance and slower organic matter mineralization, aligning with [9]. CT-TPR exhibited the lowest POC content, likely due to intense conventional tillage. Fertility levels also influenced POC content. At 0-5 cm, S<sub>3</sub> 100% N (FYM) had the highest POC (1042 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>), followed by S<sub>4</sub> 50% NPK + 50% N (FYM) (982 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>), and S<sub>5</sub> 75% NPK + 25% N (FYM) (907 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>). At 5-15 cm, S<sub>3</sub> 100% N (FYM) again showed the highest POC (659 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>), followed by S<sub>4</sub> 50% NPK + 50% N (FYM) (617 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>), and S<sub>5</sub> 75% NPK + 25% N (FYM) (570 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>). Organic fertilizer application (FYM) consistently increased POC content compared to chemical fertilizer (S<sub>2</sub>) or no fertilizer (S<sub>1</sub>) even at deeper soil levels. Treatment RT-TPR and organic fertilizer application (FYM) significantly enhance soil POC content, emphasizing the importance of reduced soil disturbance and organic matter addition. Similar findings were reported by [10].

### 3.4. Total organic carbon

Results (Table 2) showed that M1 RT-TPR had the highest TOC content at both depths, with values of 22 g kg<sup>-1</sup> at 0-5 cm and 16 g kg<sup>-1</sup> at 5-15 cm. M3 FIRB-TPR also had relatively high TOC levels, with values of 21 g kg<sup>-1</sup> and 16 g kg<sup>-1</sup> at the respective depths. In contrast, M2 CT-TPR and M4 Unpuddled-TPR had slightly lower TOC values. Reduced tillage, M1 RT-TPR, led to higher total organic carbon (TOC) content compared to other methods.




Figure 1: Effect of planting techniques on soil aggregates distribution

Reduced tillage minimizes soil disturbance, preserving organic matter and preventing decomposition. In contrast, conventional tillage (M2) results in lower TOC content due to intensive soil disturbance and accelerated organic matter decomposition. Fertility level S3 (100% N (FYM)) exhibited the highest TOC content at both depths, with values of  $24 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$  at 0 to 5 cm and  $18 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$  at 5 to 15 cm. S4 (50% NPK Chemical Fertilizer + 50% N (FYM)) and S5 (75% NPK Chemical Fertilizer + 25% N (FYM)) also showed higher TOC content compared to S1 (Control) and S2 (100% NPK Chemical Fertilizer). S6 (100% NPK Chemical Fertilizer + 25% N (FYM)) had TOC levels similar to the control (S1). Organic manure, particularly farmyard manure, enriches soil with organic carbon, enhancing TOC content. Similar findings were reported by [11].

Table 1: Effect of planting techniques, fertility levels on soil pH & EC

| Treatment                                   | Soil pH | Soil EC (ds/m) |
|---|---------|----------------|
| Planting Techniques                         |         |                |
| M <sub>1</sub> RT- TPR                      | 7.5a    | 0.25a          |
| M <sub>2</sub> CT- TPR                      | 7.5a    | 0.24a          |
| M <sub>3</sub> FIRB - TPR                   | 7.4a    | 0.25a          |
| M <sub>4</sub> Unpuddled -TPR               | 7.5a    | 0.23a          |
| Fertility Levels                            |         |                |
| S <sub>1</sub> Control                      | 7.25a   | 0.23a          |
| S <sub>2</sub> 100% NPK Chemical fertilizer | 7.87a   | 0.26a          |
| S <sub>3</sub> 100% N (FYM)                 | 7.22a   | 0.24a          |
| S <sub>4</sub> 50% NPK + 50% N(FYM)         | 7.42a   | 0.24a          |
| S <sub>5</sub> 75% NPK + 25% N(FYM)         | 7.57a   | 0.25a          |
| S <sub>6</sub> 100% NPK + 25% N(FYM)        | 7.72a   | 0.25a          |

Means with the same letter are not significantly different

### 3.5. Microbial biomass carbon

MBC (Table 2) values at 0 to 5 cm and 5 to 15 cm depths were determined for different planting techniques and fertility levels (Table 2). M1 (RT-TPR) exhibited the highest MBC values at both depths, with  $387 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$  at 0 to 5 cm and  $317 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$  at 5 to 15 cm, indicating the positive impact of reduced tillage on soil microbial biomass carbon content. In contrast, M2 (CT-TPR) had the lowest MBC values at both depths ( $293 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$  at 0 to 5 cm and  $240 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$  at 5 to 15 cm), suggesting that

traditional tillage methods can adversely affect soil microbial biomass carbon concentration due to extensive soil disturbance, as noted by [12]. Treatment M3 (FIRB-TPR) and M4 (Unpuddled-TPR) showed intermediate MBC values, indicating a moderate influence on soil microbial biomass carbon content. Regarding fertility levels, the addition of farmyard manure (FYM) significantly enhanced soil MBC compared to chemical fertilizer alone (S2-100% NPK Chemical fertilizer). S3 (100% N (FYM)) had the highest MBC values at both depths (402 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> at 0 to 5 cm and 332 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> at 5 to 15 cm), demonstrating the positive impact of completely replacing chemical fertilizer with organic manure on soil microbial biomass carbon. S4 (50% NPK + 50% N (FYM)), S5 (75% NPK + 25% N (FYM)), and S6 (100% NPK + 25% N (FYM)) showed higher MBC values than the control (S1) and chemical fertilizer alone (S2) but lower than those of S3. The control (S1) had the lowest MBC values, indicating the detrimental effect of the absence of fertilizers or amendments on soil microbial biomass carbon content. Reduce Tillage-Transplanted Rice (M1) and complete chemical fertilizer substitution with organic manure (S3) significantly influence soil microbial biomass carbon (MBC) content in rice production, emphasizing their role in improving soil health and fertility, consistent with findings by [13].

Table 2: Effect of planting techniques, fertility levels on POC, TOC and MBC of soil

| Treatment                                   | POC (mgkg <sup>-1</sup> ) |         | TOC (g kg <sup>-1</sup> ) |         | MBC (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> ) |         |
|---|---------------------------|---------|---------------------------|---------|----------------------------|---------|
|   | 0-5 cm                    | 5-15 cm | 0-5 cm                    | 5-15 cm | 0-5 cm                     | 5-15 cm |
| Planting techniques                         |                           |         |                           |         |                            |         |
| M <sub>1</sub> RT-TPR                       | 1009a                     | 634a    | 22a                       | 16a     | 387a                       | 317a    |
| M <sub>2</sub> CT- TPR                      | 766c                      | 481c    | 16c                       | 12c     | 293c                       | 240c    |
| M <sub>3</sub> FIRB - TPR                   | 992a                      | 623a    | 21a                       | 16a     | 380a                       | 311a    |
| M <sub>4</sub> Unpuddled -TPR               | 938b                      | 589b    | 20b                       | 15b     | 359b                       | 293b    |
| Fertility Levels                            |                           |         |                           |         |                            |         |
| S <sub>1</sub> Control                      | 872b                      | 548c    | 19d                       | 14d     | 334c                       | 274c    |
| S <sub>2</sub> 100% NPK Chemical fertilizer | 887b                      | 558c    | 19d                       | 14d     | 340c                       | 279c    |
| S <sub>3</sub> 100% N (FYM)                 | 1042a                     | 659a    | 24a                       | 18a     | 402a                       | 332a    |
| S <sub>4</sub> 50% NPK + 50% N (FYM)        | 982a                      | 617b    | 22b                       | 16b     | 376b                       | 308b    |
| S <sub>5</sub> 75% NPK + 25% N (FYM)        | 907b                      | 570c    | 20c                       | 15c     | 348c                       | 285c    |
| S <sub>6</sub> 100% NPK + 25% N(FYM)        | 859b                      | 540c    | 19d                       | 14d     | 329c                       | 270c    |

Means with the same letter are not significantly different

#### 4. CONCLUSION

The experiment results indicate that Furrow Irrigated Raised Bed-Transplanted Rice (FIRB-TPR) encouraged the formation of larger macro-aggregates, while Conventional Tillage-Transplanted Rice (CT-TPR) fostered smaller micro-aggregates. Reduced Tillage-Transplanted Rice (RT-TPR) with FYM and chemical fertilizer increased POC and TOC content. In contrast, CT-TPR exhibited lower POC and TOC levels due to intensive soil disturbance. RT-TPR and FYM application enhanced MBC and overall soil health, suggesting their combined use to improve soil conditions in rice cultivation. Further research and long-term monitoring are essential to evaluate the sustainability and enduring effects of these planting techniques and fertility levels on soil health. Continued research and long-term monitoring are crucial to validate the sustainability and lasting effects of these practices on soil quality and agricultural productivity.

#### 5. REFERENCE

1. Ren X, Chen F, Ma T and Hu Y. 2020. Soil quality characteristics as affected by continuous rice cultivation and changes in cropping systems in South China. *Agriculture* **10**(10):443.
2. Naresh R K, Singh B, Singh S P, Singh P K, Kumar A and Kumar A. 2012. Furrow irrigated raised bed (FIRB) planting technique for diversification of rice-wheat system for western IGP region. *International Journal of Life Sciences Biotechnology and Pharma Research* **1**(3):134-141.

3. Alam MK, Bell RW, Hasanuzzaman M, Salahin N, Rashid MH, Akter N, Akhter S, Islam MS, Islam S, Naznin S and Anik MFA. 2020. Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) establishment techniques and their implications for soil properties, global warming potential mitigation and crop yields. *Agronomy* **10**(6):888.
4. Bhatt MK, Labanya R, Joshi HC and Nand M. 2019. Effect of long-term inorganic and organic manure on physical and biological properties of soil in Uttarakhand-A review. *ENVIS Bulletin Himalayan Ecology* 27:49-54.
5. Cambardella, C.A. and Elliott, E.T., 1992. Particulate soil organic-matter changes across a grassland cultivation sequence. *Soil science society of America journal*, 56(3): 777-783.
6. Horwath, W.R. and Paul, E.A., 1994. Microbial biomass. *Methods of Soil Analysis: Part 2 Microbiological and Biochemical Properties*, 5: 753-773.
7. Jekinson DS. Determination of microbial biomass carbon and nitrogen in soil, in: J.R. Wilson (Ed.), *Advances in Nitrogen Cycling in Agricultural Ecosystems*, CAB International, Wallingford, UK, 1988, 368-386.
8. Naresh R K, Gupta R K, Jat M L, Singh S P, Dwivedi A, Dhaliwal S S, Kumar V, Kumar L, Singh O, Singh V and Kumar A. 2016. Tillage, irrigation levels and rice straw mulches effects on wheat productivity, soil aggregates and soil organic carbon dynamics after rice in sandy loam soils of subtropical climatic conditions. *Journal of pure and applied microbiology* **10**(3): 1987-2002
8. Jat H S, Datta A, Choudhary M, Yadav A K, Choudhary V, Sharma P C, Gathala M K, Jat M L and McDonald A. 2019. Effects of tillage, crop establishment and diversification on soil organic carbon, aggregation, aggregate associated carbon and productivity in cereal systems of semi-arid Northwest India. *Soil and Tillage Research* 190:128-138.
9. Prasad J V N S, Rao C S, Srinivas K, Jyothi C N, Venkateswarlu B, Ramachandrapa B K, Dhanapal G N, Ravichandra K and Mishra P K. 2016. Effect of ten years of reduced tillage and recycling of organic matter on crop yields, soil organic carbon and its fractions in Alfisols of semi-arid tropics of southern India. *Soil and Tillage Research* 156:131-139.
10. Nisar S and Benbi D K. 2020. Stabilization of organic C in an Indo-Gangetic alluvial soil under long-term manure and compost management in a rice–wheat system. *Carbon Management* **11**(6):533-547.
11. Dutta D, Singh VK, Upadhyay PK, Meena AL, Kumar A, Mishra RP, DwivediBS, Shukla AK, YadavGS, Tewari RB and Kumar V. 2022. Long-term impact of organic and inorganic fertilizers on soil organic carbon dynamics in a rice-wheat system. *Land Degradation & Development* **33**(11):1862-1877.
12. Yadav G S, Datta R, Imran Pathan S, Lal R, Meena R S, Babu S, Das A, Bhowmik S N, Datta M, Saha P and Mishra P K. 2017. Effects of conservation tillage and nutrient management practices on soil fertility and productivity of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) rice system in north eastern region of India. *Sustainability* **9**(10):1816.
13. Nayak AK, Gangwar B, Shukla AK, Mazumdar SP, Kumar A, Raja R, Kumar A, Kumar V, Rai PK and Mohan U. 2012. Long-term effect of different integrated nutrient management on soil organic carbon and its fractions and sustainability of rice–wheat system in Indo Gangetic Plains of India. *Field Crops Research* 127:129-139.