

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_111851
Title of the Manuscript:	Bio-Assay of Fungicides against the Neovossia indica Inciting Karnal Bunt of Wheat
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript importance for scientific community where it represents great importance in the field of pesticides and their various effectiveness to combat wheat fungi because this crop has great strategic importance locally and globally.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title of article is suitable.</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. Yes, the manuscript is divided into subsections that are very appropriate for the research body.</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. No, the references are very old and I also hope that the references will be strengthened with a large number from 2020 to 2024 year besides, make the conclusion part for the paper.</p> <p>7. The manuscript has been revised (parts shaded in yellow) for saving time</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, it is suitable for academic communication, with minor language revision</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript has been revised (parts shaded in yellow) for saving time</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Almoatzbollah Ali El-Mouhamady
Department, University & Country	Biotechnology Research Institute, Egypt