

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_111655</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>IMPACT OF DIFFERENT NUTRIENT SOURCE ON YIELD AND SOIL PROPERTIES OF BLACK GRAM (Vigna mungo L. HEPPEL) UNDER ORGANIC FARMING</b>
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1- It can be a good topic for the scientific community</p> <p>2- The title is not very good, it has grammatical mistakes and it is all written in capital letters, which is not appropriate</p> <p>3- The summary is not well written at all</p> <p>4- The structure is not suitable</p> <p>5- The research method is not well explained, it is not possible to give a good opinion about this</p> <p>6- References are old except for two references. It is necessary to add new references to the article from 2020 onwards</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1- The quality of English is very poor</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>It is a good and interesting topic, but unfortunately the article is not well written.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rahim Karami</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Mechanical Engineering School, CQU, Australia</b>