

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJARR_111716</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>EMPOWERMENT STRATEGIES FOR THE COMMUNITY IN THE MANGROVE ECOTOURISM AREA THROUGH CREATIVITY IN SEI NAGALAWAN VILLAGE, SERDANG BEDAGAI REGENCY</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The area of the manuscript is OK. The problem is the way of presenting and analysing it. There is no clear scientific analysis in the article. It is just like reporting. Proper literature review should be done.</p> <p>Need to improve. Better to mention the country name is also in the title.</p> <p>The abstract is OK. Keywords need to be revised</p> <p>The flow of the article is OK.</p> <p>There are areas to improve. The way of collecting data and analysing data should be explained properly. The researcher should study the way of analysing qualitative data.</p> <p>References are very old. Need to add recent literature.</p> <p><b>Additional comments</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Interviews- How many people? Sample size? How did you determine the sample size?</li> <li>• Focus Group- With whom did you conduct the focus group? Need more details. How did you analyse the data?</li> <li>• Observation- How did you conduct the observation? What did you observe? How did you analyse them?</li> <li>• Need to explain how did you get the data for SWOT analysis? Is it from focus group or observations?</li> <li>• When you analyse qualitative in-depth interview data, it is better to quote the interview statement pointed out by your respondents. Then you can finally develop a framework or table based on their points</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Need to improve. Better to proof read the article again.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Some citations are incorrect, some in-text references are not in the final reference list.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>R.S.S.W. Arachchi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sabaragamuwa University of Sri Lanka, Sri Lanka</b>